



**NOTICE OF INTENT TO ADOPT AN INITIAL STUDY
AND
PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION**

1. **PROJECT TITLE:** Adoption of Ordinance No. 185: “MPWMD Second Bathroom and Accessory Dwelling Unit Sub-Metering Clarification Ordinance”
2. **DESCRIPTION AND LOCATION OF PROJECT:** Ordinance No. 185 (**Attachment 2**) amends the provisions of Rule 24 to allow a second Bathroom for convenience in any Dwelling Unit on Sites with less than four Dwelling Units that existed as of the date the protocol was adopted in 2001. The ordinance clarifies that the second Bathroom protocol is not allowed to be used by a new Accessory Dwelling Unit. This ordinance also permanently amends Rule 23 as adopted by Urgency Ordinance No. 184 to exempt existing Residential space or structures that can be converted to Accessory Dwelling Units from the requirement for permanent sub-metering and grandfathers existing active construction of ADUs from the requirement.

Ordinance No. 185 applies to Sites within the boundaries of the Monterey Peninsula Water Management District (MPWMD), including the cities of Carmel-by-the-Sea, Del Rey Oaks, Monterey, Pacific Grove, Sand City, Seaside, portions of Monterey County (primarily Carmel Valley, Pebble Beach and the Carmel Highlands), and the Monterey Peninsula Airport District. Each of these Jurisdictions regulates land use within its individual boundaries and is responsible for CEQA review of individual projects that are proposed. The District does not regulate land use.

3. **REVIEW PERIOD:** The Review Period is March 16, 2020, through April 4, 2020.
4. **PUBLIC MEETINGS:** The first reading of the Ordinance is scheduled for public hearing on April 20, 2020. The first reading will be held at 6:00 PM. This will be a virtual meeting via WebEx. Join the meeting at mpwmd.webex.com - Meeting number: 293 161 038
Meeting password: vUtq3Yqnp32 - Participate by phone: 877-668-4493
5. **LOCATION OF DOCUMENTS:** The proposed Negative Declaration and Initial Study and copies of proposed Ordinance No. 185, are available for review at the Monterey Peninsula Water Management District office located at 5 Harris Court, Bldg. G, Monterey, CA 93940 (Ryan Ranch) and on the District’s website at www.mpwmd.net under “Important

Announcements -- CEQA Notices.” **The staff contact is Stephanie Locke at 831/658-5630 or Locke@mpwmd.net.**

6. **PROPOSED FINDING SUPPORTING NEGATIVE DECLARATION:** Based upon completion of an initial study, MPWMD finds that there is no substantial evidence that the project may have a significant effect on the environment.

U:\demand\CEQA Docs\Ordinances\Ord 185\Notice of Intent for 185_13Mar 2020.docx

**CEQA Environmental Checklist
MPWMD ORDINANCE NO. 185**

PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND BACKGROUND

Project Title:	Adoption of Ordinance No. 185: "MPWMD 2020 Second Bathroom and Accessory Dwelling Unit Sub-Metering Clarification Ordinance."
Lead agency name and address:	Monterey Peninsula Water Management District (MPWMD), P.O. Box 85, Monterey, CA 93942-0085 [Street Address: 5 Harris Court, Bldg. G, Monterey, CA 93940]
Contact person and phone number:	Stephanie Locke, 831/658-5601 or SPintar@mpwmd.net
Project Location:	Monterey Peninsula Water Management District (see Attachment 1 map)
Project sponsor's name and address:	Monterey Peninsula Water Management District, P.O. Box 85, Monterey, CA 93942-0085 (Street address: 5 Harris Court, Bldg. G, Monterey, CA 93940)
General plan description:	Varies throughout MPWMD
Zoning:	Varies throughout MPWMD
Description of project: (Describe the whole action involved, including but not limited to later phases of the project, and any secondary, support, or off-site features necessary for its implementation.)	Proposed Ordinance No. 185 (Attachment 2) This ordinance amends the provisions of MPWMD Rule 24 to allow a second Bathroom for convenience in any Dwelling Unit on Sites with less than four Dwelling Units that existed as of the date the protocol was adopted in 2001. The ordinance clarifies that the second Bathroom protocol is not allowed to be used by a new Accessory Dwelling Unit. This ordinance also permanently amends Rule 23 as adopted by Urgency Ordinance No. 184 to exempt existing Residential space or structures that can be converted to Accessory Dwelling Units from the requirement for permanent sub-metering and grandfathers existing active construction of ADUs from the requirement.
Surrounding land uses and setting; briefly describe the project's surroundings:	<p>Land uses within the MPWMD range from urban and suburban residential and commercial areas to open space/wilderness. The MPWMD encompasses the cities of Carmel-by-the-Sea, Del Rey Oaks, Monterey, Pacific Grove, Sand City, Seaside, portions of Monterey County (primarily Carmel Valley, Pebble Beach and the Highway 68 corridor), and the Monterey Peninsula Airport District. Each of these jurisdictions regulates land uses within its boundaries. The MPWMD does not regulate land uses.</p> <p>The Monterey Peninsula is dependent on local sources of water supply, which (directly or indirectly) are dependent on local rainfall and runoff. The primary sources of supply include surface and groundwater in the Carmel River basin, and groundwater in the Seaside Basin (Attachment 3).</p> <p>Vegetation communities on the Monterey Peninsula include marine, estuarine, and riverine habitats; fresh emergent and saline emergent (coastal salt marsh) wetland communities; riparian communities, particularly along the Carmel River; a wetland community at the Carmel River lagoon; and upland</p>

	vegetation communities such as coastal scrub, mixed chaparral, mixed hardwood forest, valley oak woodland, and annual grassland. These communities provide habitat for a diverse group of wildlife. The Carmel River supports various fish resources, including federally threatened steelhead fish and California red-legged frog.
Other public agencies whose approval is required (e.g. permits, financial approval, or participation agreements):	None
Have California Native American tribes traditionally and culturally affiliated with the project area requested consultation pursuant to public Resources Code section 21080.3.1? If so, is there a plan for consultation that includes, for example, the determination of significance of impacts to tribal cultural resources, procedures regarding confidentiality, etc.?	No.

ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS POTENTIALLY AFFECTED:


The environmental factors checked below would be potentially affected by this project. Please see the checklist beginning on page 3 for additional information.

<input type="checkbox"/>	Aesthetics	<input type="checkbox"/>	Agriculture and Forestry	<input type="checkbox"/>	Air Quality
<input type="checkbox"/>	Biological Resources	<input type="checkbox"/>	Cultural Resources	<input type="checkbox"/>	Geology/Soils
<input type="checkbox"/>	Greenhouse Gas Emissions	<input type="checkbox"/>	Hazards and Hazardous Materials	<input type="checkbox"/>	Hydrology/Water Quality
<input type="checkbox"/>	Land Use/Planning	<input type="checkbox"/>	Mineral Resources	<input type="checkbox"/>	Noise
<input type="checkbox"/>	Population/Housing	<input type="checkbox"/>	Public Services	<input type="checkbox"/>	Recreation
<input type="checkbox"/>	Transportation/Traffic	<input type="checkbox"/>	Utilities/Service Systems	<input type="checkbox"/>	Mandatory Findings of Significance
<input type="checkbox"/>	Wildfire	<input type="checkbox"/>	Energy	<input type="checkbox"/>	Tribal Cultural Resources

DETERMINATION:

On the basis of this initial evaluation:

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	I find that the proposed project COULD NOT have a significant effect on the environment, and a NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.
<input type="checkbox"/>	I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect in this case because revisions in the project have been made by or agreed to by the project proponent. A MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.
<input type="checkbox"/>	I find that the proposed project MAY have a significant effect on the environment, and an ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required.
<input type="checkbox"/>	I find that the proposed project MAY have a "potentially significant impact" or "potentially significant unless mitigated" impact on the environment, but at least one effect 1) has been adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and 2) has been addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis as described on attached sheets. An ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required, but it must analyze only the effects that remain to be addressed.
<input type="checkbox"/>	I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, because all potentially significant effects (a) have been analyzed adequately in an earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION pursuant to applicable standards, and (b) have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION, including revisions or mitigation measures that are imposed upon the proposed project, nothing further is required

Signature: 	Date: 3.16.20
Printed Name: David J. Stoldt, General Manager	

CEQA Environmental Checklist

This checklist identifies physical, biological, social and economic factors that might be affected by the proposed project. In many cases, background studies performed in connection with the projects indicate no impacts. A NO IMPACT answer in the last column reflects this determination. Where there is a need for clarifying discussion, the discussion is included either following the applicable section of the checklist or is within the body of the environmental document itself. The words "significant" and "significance" used throughout the following checklist are related to CEQA, not NEPA, impacts. The questions in this form are intended to encourage the thoughtful assessment of impacts and do not represent thresholds of significance.

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
I. AESTHETICS. Would the project:				
a) Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c) In nonurbanized areas, substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of public views of the site and its surroundings? (Public views are those that are experienced from publicly accessible vantage point). If the project is in an urbanized area, would the project conflict with applicable zoning and other regulations governing scenic quality?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
d) Create a new source of substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

II. AGRICULTURE AND FOREST RESOURCES. In determining whether impacts to agricultural resources are significant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to the California Agricultural Land Evaluation and Site Assessment Model (1997) prepared by the California Dept. of Conservation as an optional model to use in assessing impacts on agriculture and farmland. In determining whether impacts to forest resources, including timberland, are significant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to information compiled by the California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection regarding the state's inventory of forest land, including the Forest and Range Assessment Project and the Forest Legacy Assessment Project; and the forest carbon measurement methodology provided in Forest Protocols adopted by the California Air Resources Board.

Would the project:

a) Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to non-agricultural use?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act contract?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
c) Conflict with existing zoning for, or cause rezoning of, forest land (as defined in Public Resources Code section 12220(g)), timberland (as defined by Public Resources Code section 4526), or timberland zoned Timberland Production (as defined by Government Code section 51104(g))?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
d) Result in the loss of forest land or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
e) Involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of Farmland, to non-agricultural use or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

III. AIR QUALITY. Where available, the significance criteria established by the applicable air quality management or air pollution control district may be relied upon to make the following determinations.

Would the project:

a) Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non- attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions which exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c) Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
d) Result in other emissions (such as those leading to odors) adversely affecting a substantial number of people?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

IV. BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES. Would the project:

a) Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife or US Fish and Wildlife Service?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c) Have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
d) Interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
e) Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
f) Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

V. CULTURAL RESOURCES. Would the project:

a) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as defined in §15064.5?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resource pursuant to §15064.5?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
d) Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

VI. ENERGY. Would the project:

a) Result in potentially significant environmental impact due to wasteful, inefficient, or unnecessary consumption of energy resources, during project construction or operation?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Conflict with or obstruct a state or local plan for renewable energy or energy efficiency?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

VII. GEOLOGY AND SOILS. Would the project:

a) Directly or indirectly cause potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving:				
i) Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
ii) Strong seismic ground shaking?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
iii) Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
iv) Landslides?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
b) Result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c) Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
d) Be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18-1-B of the Uniform Building Code (1994), creating substantial risks to life or property?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
e) Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative waste water disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of waste water?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
f) Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

VII. GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS. Would the project:

a) Generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Conflict with an applicable plan, policy or regulation adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

VIII. HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS. Would the project:

a) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c) Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
d) Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result, would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
e) For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
f) For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
g) Impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
h) Expose people or structures, either directly or indirectly, to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

IX. HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY. Would the project:

a) Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements or otherwise substantially degrade surface or ground water quality?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Substantially decrease groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that the project may impede sustainable groundwater management of the basin?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river or through the addition of impervious surfaces, in a manner which would:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
i) result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site;	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
ii) substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on- or off-site;	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
iii) create or contribute runoff water which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff; or	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
iv) impede or redirect flood flows?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
d) In flood hazard, tsunami, or seiche zones, risk release of pollutants due to project inundation?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
e) Conflict with or obstruct implementation of a water quality control plan or sustainable groundwater management plan?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

X. LAND USE AND PLANNING. Would the project:

a) Physically divide an established community?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Cause a significant environmental impact due to a conflict with any land use plan, policy, or regulation adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
--	--------------------------------	---------------------------------------	------------------------------	-----------

XI. MINERAL RESOURCES. Would the project:

- | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| a) Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| b) Result in the loss of availability of a locally important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

XII. NOISE. Would the project result in:

- | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| a) Generation of a substantial temporary or permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the vicinity of the project in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| b) Generation of excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| c) For a project located within the vicinity of a private airstrip or an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

XIII. POPULATION AND HOUSING. Would the project:

- | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| a) Induce substantial unplanned population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| b) Displace substantial numbers of existing people or housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

XIV. PUBLIC SERVICES. Would the project:

- | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| a) Result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times, or other performance objectives for any of the public services: | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Fire protection? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Police protection? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Schools?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Parks?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Other public facilities?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

XV. RECREATION.

a) Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

XVI. TRANSPORTATION. Would the project:

a) Conflict with a program, plan, ordinance or policy addressing the circulation system, including transit, roadway, bicycle and pedestrian facilities?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Conflict or be inconsistent with CEQA Guidelines §15064.3, subdivision (b)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c) Substantially increase hazards due to a geometric design feature (e.g. sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
d) Result in inadequate emergency access?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
--------------------------------------	--	------------------------------------	--------------

XVII. TRIBAL CULTURAL RESOURCES.

- | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| a) Would the project cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a tribal cultural resource, defined in Public Resources Code § 21074 as either a site, feature, place, cultural landscape that is geographically defined in terms of the size and scope of the landscape, sacred place, or object with cultural value to a California Native American tribe, and that is: | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| i) Listed or eligible for listing in the California Register of Historical Resources, or in a local register of historical resources as defined in Public Resources Code § 5020.1(k), or | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| ii) A resource determined by the lead agency, in its discretion and supported by substantial evidence, to be significant pursuant to criteria set forth in subdivision (c) of Public Resources Code § 5024.1. In applying the criteria set forth in subdivision © of Public Resources Code § 5024.1, the lead agency shall consider the significance of the resource to a California Native American tribe. | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

XVII. UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS. Would the project:

- | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| a) Require or result in the relocation or construction of new or expanded water, wastewater treatment or storm water drainage, electric power, natural gas, or telecommunications facilities, the construction or relocation of which could cause significant environmental effects? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| b) Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project and reasonably future development during normal, dry and multiple dry years? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| c) Result in a determination by the waste water treatment provider, which serves or may serve the project, that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| d) Generate solid waste in excess of state or local standards, or in excess of the capacity of local infrastructure, or otherwise impair the attainment of solid waste reduction goals? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| g) Comply with federal, state, and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
--------------------------------------	--	------------------------------------	--------------

XVIII. MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE

- | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| a) Does the project have the potential to substantially degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, substantially reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| b) Does the project have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? ("Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects)? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| c) Does the project have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

DISCUSSION OF CHECKLIST ITEMS:

For all categories, "No Impact" was checked. Adoption of Ordinance No. 185 has no measurable physical impact on the environment, as the second Bathroom protocol applies only to existing Dwelling Units built before 2001 that have less than two Bathrooms. The previous CEQA findings noted that the second Bathroom protocol responds to modern quality-of-life standards and recognized that a second Bathroom in a home is primarily for convenience and would not result in significant water use. The addition of a second Bathroom for convenience has been allowed in the Monterey Peninsula Water Management District ("MPWMD") since 2001 and was adopted by Ordinance No. 98 on March 19, 2001.

The second Bathroom protocol has been restricted to Single Family Residences on Single Family Residential Sites. This ordinance expands the protocol to Sites with less than four Dwelling Units. At the request of the District's Water Demand Committee at its January 16, 2020 meeting, the ordinance does not allow the second Bathroom to be added in an apartment situation where there are four or more Dwelling Units. Use of the protocol is voluntary: Any Dwelling Unit installing a second Bathroom pursuant to this provision is limited to two Bathrooms unless the second Bathroom is permitted by debit to a Jurisdiction's Allocation.

This ordinance clarifies the second Bathroom allowed by this special fixture protocol is to be used only for convenience within the existing Dwelling Unit and cannot be used to support a new Accessory Dwelling Unit. Removal or retrofitting of any fixture added pursuant to the second Bathroom protocol does not result in a Water Credit.


Residential water use within the MPWMD has been continuously declining since Ordinance No. 98 (the initial second Bathroom protocol ordinance) was adopted in 2001. In Water Year 2001, average residential water use by separately metered customers in the incorporated areas was 0.17 Acre-Foot per Connection ("AFC") and unincorporated areas averaged 0.281 AFC. By Water Year 2019, consumption had declined to 0.109 AFC (incorporated areas) and 0.167 AFC (unincorporated areas). Reductions can be attributed to numerous water efficiency programs, changes in technology, and expensive water.

Ordinance No. 185 also codifies the Board's adoption of Ordinance No. 184 by urgency in August 2019. The codified action clarifies water submetering requirements for Accessory Dwelling Units ("ADUs"). The ordinance allows permanent submetering of one detached ADU on a Site and exempts from submetering ADUs located within an existing structure.

Ordinance No. 185, as well as supporting materials and documents, may be reviewed at the MPWMD offices, at the address and phone number listed above. These materials include (a) MPWMD Rules and Regulations, (b) MPWMD Ordinance No. 98, and (c) Board agenda information supporting development and adoption of Ordinance No. 98, (d) Ordinance No. 114 including CEQA evaluation. Initial Study conclusions are also based on District staffs' professional assessments, knowledge and experiences, based on data on file at the District office.

Conclusion

Based on this Initial Study, the MPWMD believes that there is an absence of substantial evidence from which a fair argument can be made that adoption of Ordinance No. 185 has measurable and meaningful actual or potential adverse environmental consequences. MPWMD believes that adoption of Ordinance No. 185 would have less than significant environmental impacts. MPWMD is aware that CEQA requires preparation of a negative declaration if there is no substantial evidence that the project may cause a significant effect on the environment (CEQA Guidelines §15063(b)(2).) For these reasons, MPWMD intends to adopt a negative declaration regarding adoption of Ordinance No. 185.



**DRAFT
ORDINANCE NO. 185**

**AN ORDINANCE OF THE
MONTEREY PENINSULA WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT
AMENDING DISTRICT RULE 24 TO ALLOW SPECIAL FIXTURE UNIT
ACCOUNTING FOR SECOND BATHROOMS IN EXISTING DWELLING UNITS
AND TO AMEND RULE 23 TO PERMANENTLY ADOPT SUB-METERING
REQUIREMENTS AND EXEMPTIONS FOR ACCESSORY DWELLING UNITS**

FINDINGS

1. The Water Management District is charged under the Monterey Peninsula Water Management District Law with the integrated management of the ground and surface water resources in the Monterey Peninsula area.
2. The Water Management District has general and specific power to cause and implement water conservation activities as set forth in Sections 325 and 328 of the Monterey Peninsula Water Management District Law.
3. This ordinance refines the definition of Dwelling Unit to more closely match the California Building Code.
4. This ordinance expands the second bathroom eligibility to Dwelling Units that existed on May 2001, the date of adoption of the second Bathroom addition.
5. This ordinance allows a second Bathroom for convenience on Sites with less than four Dwelling Units. It does not allow second Bathrooms in apartment buildings.
6. This ordinance continues to recognize the findings adopted in Ordinance No. 98 and Ordinance No. 114 that the addition of a second Bathroom within a Dwelling Unit is for convenience and has a de minimis increase in water use.
7. By eliminating the limitation that a second Bathroom addition under Rule 24-A-3 is available only to Single Family Residences on Single Family Residential Sites (as defined by MPWMD Rule 11), this ordinance will facilitate new ADUs on Sites where the second Bathroom protocol has been used. Presently, the Site is restricted to no more than two Bathrooms. The second Bathroom must be permitted by a debit to an Allocation or Entitlement before an ADU can be built.

Bathroom must be permitted by a debit to an Allocation or Entitlement before an ADU can be built.

8. The change to “Dwelling Unit” from “Single Family Dwelling Unit on a Single Family Residential Site” facilitates the ADU by allowing the second Bathroom in the original Dwelling Unit to remain without an additional permit requirement.
9. Removal or retrofitting of the any fixture added pursuant to the second Bathroom protocol does not result in a Water Credit.
10. The District requires separate Water Meters for each User to promote accountability for water use and to enforce water rationing when needed.
11. The Board has previously adopted by urgency ordinance Rule 23-A-1-i-(6) that allows permanent sub-metering of one ADU on a Site, rather than requiring a separate Water Meter by the Water Distribution System Operator. Because this Rule was adopted with urgency in Ordinance No. 184, it will expire after one year unless it is codified through a non-urgency ordinance adopted by the Board of Directors.
12. The requirement for sub-metering an ADU becomes a hardship when an ADU is created within an existing structure where plumbing is not designed to sub-meter hot and cold water. A hardship occurs when the ADU is contained within the existing space of a single-family residence or accessory structure, including, but not limited to, a studio, pool house, or other similar structure. (Finding from Urgency Ordinance No. 184)
13. Allowing a limited exemption from the sub-metering requirements for ADUs would not have an adverse effect on enforcement of water rationing. Rule 165 states: “Where two or more Households are served by a Master Meter, it shall be the responsibility of the Water Users to divide the Water Rations among the Water Users.” (Finding from Urgency Ordinance No. 184)
14. Allowing this exemption from the metering requirements encourages additional affordable rental housing stock, a priority of the State of California. (Finding from Urgency Ordinance No. 184)
15. This ordinance shall be reviewed and approved under CEQA (California Environmental Quality Act) based upon a Negative Declaration.

NOW THEREFORE be it ordained as follows:

ORDINANCE

Section One: Short Title

This ordinance shall be known as the “MPWMD 2020 Second Bathroom and Accessory Dwelling Unit Sub-Metering Clarification Ordinance.”

Section Two: Purpose

This ordinance amends the provisions of Rule 24 to allow a second Bathroom for convenience in any Dwelling Unit on Sites with less than four Dwelling Units that existed as of the date the protocol was adopted in 2001. The ordinance clarifies that the second Bathroom protocol is not allowed to be used by a new Accessory Dwelling Unit. This ordinance also permanently amends Rule 23 as adopted by Urgency Ordinance No. 184 to exempt existing Residential space or structures that can be converted to Accessory Dwelling Units from the requirement for permanent sub-metering and grandfathers existing active construction of ADUs from the requirement.

Ordinance No. 185 also codifies the Board’s adoption of Ordinance No. 184 by urgency in August 2019. The codified action clarifies water submetering requirements for Accessory Dwelling Units (“ADUs”). The ordinance allows permanent submetering of one detached ADU on a Site and exempts from submetering ADUs located within an existing structure.

Section Three: Amendment of Rule 24: Water Permit Process

Rule 11 shall be revised as shown in bold italics (***bold italics***) and ~~strikeout (strikethrough)~~:

DWELLING UNIT - “Dwelling Unit” shall mean a ***single unit providing complete, independent living facilities for one or more persons, including permanent provisions for living, sleeping, eating, cooking and sanitation,*** ~~single or multiple residences suitable for single household occupancy~~ but shall not refer to non-permanent student or transient housing, the occupancy of which is projected to average 24 months or less.

Section Four: Amendment of Rule 24-A-3, Second Bathroom Addition

Rule 24-A-3 shall be revised as shown in bold italics (***bold italics***) and ~~strikeout (strikethrough)~~:

3. Second Bathroom Addition

A distinctive Water Permit protocol shall apply to any Residential application that proposes to add a second Bathroom to ~~an existing Single Family~~ **a Dwelling Unit built before May 2001** ~~on a single family Residential Site~~ that, prior to the application, has less than two Bathrooms.

- a. The second Bathroom protocol shall be limited, and shall apply only to the following water appliances if they are installed in a new second Bathroom as an expansion of an existing ~~Single Family Dwelling Unit~~: (a) a single toilet, and (b) a single Standard Bathtub, or single Shower Stall, or a single standard tub-shower combination, and (c) one or two Washbasins.
- b. The second Bathroom protocol shall further apply ~~on a pro-rata basis to any Residential application that proposes to add one or more of the referenced water fixtures appliances referenced above~~ to an existing second Bathroom which lacks ~~that same appliance~~ **a fixture** within an existing ~~single family Residential Site Dwelling Unit~~ and, prior to the application, has less than two full Bathrooms.
- c. The second Bathroom protocol shall apply only to a ~~Single Family Dwelling Unit that has less than two Bathrooms and~~ ~~on a single family Residential Site~~ that had a final building permit as of May 16, 2001.
- d. The second Bathroom protocol shall not apply to any Multi-Family Dwelling or Multi-Family Residential Site **with four or more units** as defined by these Rules and Regulations.
- ~~e. A valid Water Use Credit for the permanent abandonment of a one Bathroom Single Family Dwelling on a single family Residential Site issued prior to May 16, 2001 shall be regarded as an existing Single Family Dwelling for 120 months following demolition and shall allow the reconstruction of a single family Dwelling with the addition of the water fixtures allowed by this provision as long as the credit is valid.~~
- fe. Water fixtures installed pursuant to this provision shall be installed within the existing ~~Single Family Dwelling Unit~~. **The second Bathroom protocol shall not be used to create anew Accessory Dwelling Unit. This includes the addition of a second Bathroom elsewhere in the Dwelling Unit that**

would allow the first Bathroom to be used by an Accessory Dwelling Unit. The protocol was adopted to recognize that a second Bathroom is for convenience. It is not intended to support a new User.

- gf. Under this second Bathroom protocol, the General Manager shall not debit the Jurisdiction's Allocation for the installation of ~~select~~ *the* water fixtures in the second Bathroom.
- hg. Capacity Fees shall nonetheless be collected for the addition of fixture units in the second Bathroom.
- ih. No ~~on-site, off-site or transfer of~~ credit shall be granted for removal or retrofit of any fixture added pursuant to this second Bathroom protocol.
- ji. Use of the second Bathroom protocol is voluntary. Any ~~property~~ *Dwelling Unit* installing a second Bathroom pursuant to this provision shall be limited to two Bathrooms unless the second Bathroom is permitted by debit to a Jurisdiction's Allocation, *an Entitlement, or offset by a credit*. A Notice *and Deed Restriction Regarding* The Limitation Of ~~on~~ Use ~~Of~~ Water ~~On~~ Aa Property shall be recorded on the real property as a condition of the Water Permit.
- ki. All Water Permits issued pursuant to this Rule shall include a Notice and Deed Restriction titled "Provide Public Access to Water Use Data" pursuant to Rule 23. In addition, permits utilizing the second Bathroom protocol shall authorize access to water records for the sixty (60) months prior to the date the Water Permit is issued. There shall be no additional charge for this deed restriction.
- lj. The provisions of this second Bathroom protocol shall take precedence and supersede any contrary provision of the Water Management District Rules and Regulations.

Section Five: Amendment of Rule 23-A-1-i-(6)

Rule 23-A-1-(i)-(6) shall be amended as shown below, with added language as shown in *bold italic* type face, and deleted language shown in ~~strikeout~~ type face. The remaining provisions of Rule 23 shall remain unchanged by this ordinance. This amendment was temporarily approved by

adoption of Urgency Ordinance No. 184, the 2019 Accessory Dwelling Unit Ordinance. Adoption of this ordinance will make the changes permanent.

- (6) The General Manager shall allow permanent sub-metering of all water use into one Accessory Dwelling Unit, ~~including hot and cold water supply. The application for sub-metering an~~ *An Accessory Dwelling Unit contained within the existing space of a single-family residence or accessory structure (e.g., studio, pool house, or other similar structure) shall be exempt from the sub-metering requirement. Sub-metering is, however, encouraged as a conservation tool that promotes the efficient use of water. The sub-metering requirement or sub-metering exemption* will be considered by the General Manager when the Jurisdiction confirms there is no potential that the sub metered User could be located on a separate Site through subdivision or transfer of ownership of a portion of the Site.

Section Six: **Accessory Dwelling Units Under Construction**

Active Water Permits that require sub-metering of ADUs in existing structures shall be eligible for the exemption adopted by this ordinance. An amended Water Permit shall not be required; however, an amendment is required to remove the requirement from any Limitation on Use (Form 1.1) deed restriction.

Section Seven: **Publication and Application**

The provisions of this ordinance shall cause the republication and amendment of the permanent Rules and Regulations of the Monterey Peninsula Water Management District.

Section Eight: **Effective Date and Sunset**

This ordinance shall take effect at 12:01 a.m. thirty days after adoption.

This Ordinance shall not have a sunset date.

Section Nine: **Severability**

If any subdivision, paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance is, for any reason, held to be invalid or unenforceable by a court of competent jurisdiction, such invalidity shall not affect the validity or enforcement of the remaining portions of this ordinance, or of any other provisions of the Monterey Peninsula Water Management District Rules and Regulations. It is the District's

express intent that each remaining portion would have been adopted irrespective of the fact that one or more subdivisions, paragraphs, sentences, clauses, or phrases be declared invalid or unenforceable.

On motion by Director _____, and second by Director _____, the foregoing ordinance is adopted upon this ____ day of _____ 2020, by the following vote:

AYES:

NAYS:


ABSENT:

I, David J. Stoldt, Secretary to the Board of Directors of the Monterey Peninsula Water Management District, hereby certify the foregoing is a full, true and correct copy of an ordinance duly adopted on the ____ day of _____ 2020.

Witness my hand and seal of the Board of Directors this _____ day of _____ 2020.

David J. Stoldt, Secretary to the Board

Monterey Peninsula Water Management District



MAP SHOWING MPWMD BOUNDARY AND CARMEL RIVER WATERSHED BOUNDARY
2002



MPWMD Boundary from MPWMD Final EIR/EA (September 2002)
Carmel River Watershed Boundary from NPS/MWD, 2002 (June 1997)

MONTEREY PENINSULA
WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT

1000 Highway 1
Marina, CA 93903
(408) 241-1111
www.montereywater.org

Monterey Peninsula Water Management District
1000 Highway 1, Marina, CA 93903
(408) 241-1111
www.montereywater.org