

Arlene Tavani

From: bdmoore100@aol.com
Sent: Monday, May 18, 2020 1:01 PM
To: Arlene Tavani
Subject: failed email to board

Hello,

A little after 11 this morning, I tried to send an email with my comments on Item 12 and item 16 to the email address in the agenda packet, but it was returned as "undeliverable." I'd like to have the comments considered by the board for its meeting tonight. After my email failed to be sent properly, I looked on your website but didn't find another email address there. The address I saw in the agenda was comments@wpwmd.net. I didn't have any other way I could think of to get my comments to the board before the noon time mentioned in the agenda.

I just now got your email address from Melodie Chrislock who suggested yours as an alternative to the one I used before. I would very much appreciate it if you could have my comments presented to the board even though it's now after noon.

Here's what I wrote:

I strongly urge you to adopt Mr. Stoldt's Supply and Demand Report. It is well-reasoned and based on a sensible analysis of relevant facts. It has been revised based on input received. It is a critical analysis of reasonable demand needs of our area and how these needs can be met in a cost effective way. I have attended many of your meetings and have consistently been impressed by how reasonable, thoughtful, intelligent and fair Mr. Stoldt is. I have immense confidence in his abilities and how even-handed he is.

Alternative information from various entities greatly exaggerate the need for water. Mr. Stoldt has done good work and created a sensible blueprint. Again, I urge you in the interest of the public you serve to adopt his report.

I am adamantly opposed to so-called Smart Water meters. In addition to my home in Monterey, I own property in another area of California where "smart meters" have been installed. To put it mildly, they are much worse than the traditional meters they replaced. There is no need to switch to them. In my experience, there is good reason not to change to them, and I am especially opposed to ratepayers being required to pay for them. Consequently, I urge the Board to vote against installing "Smart Meters" and in no event to do so if it means ratepayers have to foot the bill.

Thank you,
Barbara Moore