

concerns and to determine what pumpers use the most water. He requested that Consent Calendar Items 3 and 4 be pulled for separate consideration because they proposed to diminish public participation in the District's policy decisions. (6) Nancy Isakson stated that the Board should protect the privacy of private pumpers' water production records. She asked that the District consider amending its rules in order to maintain the confidentiality of the water production data. (7) Patricia Bernardi, a resident of Carmel Valley, stated that the water production records of private pumpers is public information. Regarding Consent Calendar Item 6, she advised the Board that the Seaside Basin Injection Project would not provide a reliable source of water because it could not be operated during periods of low flow in the Carmel River.

Director Knight moved that items 2, 3, 4 and 5 be pulled from the Consent Calendar, and proposed approval of items 1, 6, 7 and 8. Director Pendergrass seconded the motion. The Board approved the motion unanimously on a vote of 7 – 0.

Adopted unanimously.

On a motion by Director Markey and second by Director Potter, the Board voted to approve appointments to the Administrative and Water Demand committees, and to conduct a discussion on the responsibilities and need for additional committees at the February 19, 2004 Board meeting. The motion was approved unanimously on a vote of 7 – 0.

On a motion by Director Lehman and second by Director Markey, this item was continued to the February 2, 2004 Board workshop so that a preliminary discussion could occur then. The motion was approved unanimously on a vote of 7 – 0.

The following comments were received during the public comment period on this item. (1) David Dilworth, HOPE, stated that a committee should not have a set of alternate members that includes more than a majority of Directors. He noted that if two alternates are appointed to a committee, five Directors could participate in consideration of an issue over the course of two committee meetings. According to Mr. Dilworth, that could be considered a serial meeting, which is prohibited under the Brown Act. (2) Patricia Bernardi urged the Board to require noticing of all committee meetings so that the public is able to participate.

Director Pendergrass offered a motion that was seconded by Director Potter to require that all committees be identified as legislative bodies as defined under the Brown Act and noticed accordingly. No action was taken on the motion.

## ORAL COMMUNICATIONS

## CONSENT CALENDAR

1. **Consider Adoption of Minutes of the Regular Board Meeting of December 15, 2003**
2. **Ratify Committee Assignments for Calendar Year 2004**
3. **Consider Change to Policy on Noticing Ad Hoc Advisory Committee Meetings**