ITEM-7



<u>David C. Laredo</u> Frances M. Farina Michael D. Laredo

Paul R. De Lay (1919 – 2018)

Pacific Grove Office: 606 Forest Avenue Pacific Grove, CA 93950 Telephone: (831) 646-1502 Facsimile: (831) 646-0377

December 15, 2025

TO: Chair Riley, Members of the Board and General Manager Stoldt

FROM: David C. Laredo, Counsel

RE: General Report of Pending Litigation effective December 15, 2025

This memo presents a public summary of litigation matters that are deemed to be open and active. This is a recurring memo; the newly updated data is shown in *highlighted text*.

1 - MPWMD v. Cal-Am; 23CV004102

This lawsuit embodies District efforts to fulfill the electoral mandate of Measure J to acquire ownership and operation of Cal-Am's Monterey Division water supply facilities by eminent domain. Cal-Am's Dec. 16, 2024 Answer contends the District lacks the power to both acquire the water system, or to operate a retail potable water system. The District disputes Cal-Am's contentions and objections. Judge Rivamonte remains assigned as presiding judge for this case, but his court room beginning in January, 2026 will be designated as Department 14.

MPWMD and Cal-Am motions to narrow the scope of this proceeding are to be heard by Judge Rivamonte at 8:30 a.m. on December 12, 2025. A Case Management Conference (CMC) will also be held on December 12, 2025. The CMC will address progress issues such as pending discovery efforts and trial the anticipated calendar.

2 – MPWMD v. Local Agency Formation Commission (LAFCO); Cal-Am; 22CV000925 6th Dist. Court of Appeal H051849

The District successfully challenged LAFCO's decisions affecting and limiting MPWMD's power to acquire Cal-Am water system facilities as directed by the voter mandate in Measure J. LAFCO and Cal-Am then appealed the 2023 decision of Judge Thomas Wills. The matter is on appeal before the Sixth District Court of Appeal. Appellants.

The next steps in this litigation is for LAFCO and Cal-Am to file their closing reply briefs (due in mid-January 2026) to respond to MPWMD's closing brief that was filed in November.

3 – City of Marina; MPWMD, et al, v. California Coastal Commission (CCC); Cal-Am; Trial Case 22CV004063; 6th District Appellate Case H053560

The trial court judgment entered on May 29, 2025 found the CCC did not exceed its jurisdiction or abuse its discretion in this matter. Parties City of Marina, Marina Coast Water District (MCWD), and MPWMD jointly filed a Notice of Appeal on July 24, 2025.

Appellants joint counsel, T. Peter Pierce of Richards, Watson Gershon in San Francisco is finalizing the opening brief, to be filed with the Appellate Court on or before January 28, 2026.

4 – Matters before the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) pertaining to Cal-Am.

The following actions are separate proceedings in which MPWMD is involved due to their impact on the Monterey area or upon the Cal-Am water system.

4.a A.25-07-003 Cal-Am 2025 General Rate Case (GRC)

Cal-Am filed its latest triennial rate request with the CPUC on July 1, 2025. This request is part of the regular three-year rate cycle by which the CPUC reviews and authorizes Cal-Am's rates and charges, and also by which the CPUC authorizes Cal-Am to modify its operating system. MPWMD has been granted full party statis in this proceeding, with the right to undertake discovery, and to present witnesses and evidence in forthcoming evidentiary hearings. MPWMD staff and counsel continue to assess issues presented by Cal-Am and points raised by opposing parties.

The CPUC will convene a series of January Public Participation Hearings (PPH) in this case. These include sessions in Citrus Heights (January 6, 2026) and Camarillo (January 20, 2026). A local PPH will also be held in the Sand City City Council Chambers, at 1 Pendergrass Way, Sand City, CA. on January 13, 2026 (from 2:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m.). Finally, a Virtual PPH to enable remote attendance is set for January 28, 2026 (from 2:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m. Remote participation is available for speakers by Toll-Free phone: 800-857-1917, using Passcode: 1673482#, *1. Members of the public not wishing to speak may alternatively log on for a "view only" website at www.adminmonitor.com/ca/cpu.

Cal Advocates testimony is due January 23, 2026, and MPWMD testimony will be due February 6, 2026.

Evidentiary Hearings are calendared in San Francisco between April 20 – May 1, 2026 (remote appearances have been discontinued).

4.b R.22-04-003 CPUC Acquisition Rulemaking

This action is a statewide CPUC Rulemaking matter that addresses statewide public utility system policy, and has specific impact on the Cal-Am system. It is unclear when a Proposed Decision will be issued or when the matter may be submitted for consideration by the full Commission. The CPUC's internal Statutory deadline has been extended to September 30, 2025.

5 - MPWMD v. SWRCB. Case No. 1-10-CV-163328 (Santa Clara County Superior Court) 10/27/2009.

This matter was filed in 2010 to challenge the Cease & Desist Order (CDO) issued by the SWRCB. The case asserted four causes of action against the SWRCB related to the Cease & Desist Order. Originally filed in Monterey County, the case was transferred to Santa Clara County.

In July the Sierra Club (Sierra) and Carmel River Steelhead Association (CRSA) requested the action be dismissed. No parties challenged the request for dismissal and the Court subsequently granted that request.

Sierra filed a Motion for an award of attorney's fees against Cal-Am and MPWMD. A hearing on this motion is set for March 26, 2026, before Judge Charles F. Adams, in Dept. 7, at 191 North First Street, San Jose, CA.

Briefs by MPWMD and Cal-Am are due to be filed by January 30, 2026; Sierra's reply brief is due February 5, 2026.

U:\GENERAL (NEW)\MPWMD - Main\2025 MPWMD\General Report of Pending Litigation Dec 15 (DCL).docx