

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SUMMARY.....	ii
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	ii
LIST OF APPENDICES.....	iii
LIST OF TABLES	iii
LIST OF FIGURES	iv
1.0 INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1 DISTRICT BACKGROUND	1
1.2 PHYSICAL SETTING.....	1
1.3 IMPLEMENTATION OF THE DISTRICT’S BIOASSESSMENT PROGRAM.....	2
1.4 HISTORICAL INFORMATION.....	3
2.0 METHODS	6
2.1 MONITORING SITES	6
2.2 BENTHIC SAMPLING	10
2.3 HABITAT AND WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT	10
2.4 SAMPLE PROCESSING AND DATA ANALYSIS	10
2.4.1 MACROINVERTEBRATE METRICS.....	11
2.4.2 INDEX OF BIOTIC INTEGRITY	12
2.4.3 ORDINATION	13
2.4.4 ANALYSES.....	13
3.0 RESULTS.....	14
3.1 BENTHIC MACROINVERTEBRATES	14
3.1.1 INDEX OF BIOTIC INTEGRITY	15
3.1.2 ANNUAL TRENDS AND SEASONAL DIFFERENCES	17
3.1.3 INTOLERANT TAXA	22
3.1.4 FUNCTIONAL FEEDING GROUPS.....	24
3.1.5 ABUNDANCE AND BIOVOLUME.....	25
3.2 HABITAT AND WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT	26
3.3 HABITAT INFLUENCE ON MACROINVERTEBRATES	28
4.0 DISCUSSION	32
4.1 POTENTIAL STRESSOR GRADIENTS: URBANIZATION AND RESERVOIRS	32
4.2 SALMONID FOOD SOURCES	35
4.3 SEASONAL AND ANNUAL TRENDS	35
4.4 REGIONAL INTEGRATION OF BIOASSESSMENT DATA	36
5.0 CONCLUSIONS	36
6.0 RECOMMENDATIONS.....	37
7.0 LITERATURE CITED.....	38
8.0 ABBREVIATIONS/GLOSSARY	41

LIST OF APPENDICES

- APPENDIX A. Field data sheets used for recording habitat quality during biological assessment surveys
- APPENDIX B. Metrics used to describe characteristics of benthic macroinvertebrate assemblages including those used for the coastal southern California index of biotic integrity
- APPENDIX C. Cumulative taxonomic list of benthic macroinvertebrates sampled from the Carmel River including California Tolerance Values (CTV) and Functional Feeding Groups (FFG) designations
- APPENDIX D. Carmel River benthic macroinvertebrate individuals organized by taxonomic group and year of sampling
- APPENDIX E. Biological metric values for benthic macroinvertebrates sampled from the Carmel River
- APPENDIX F. Selected photographs of benthic macroinvertebrate taxa sampled from the Carmel River (magnification 15-25x)
- APPENDIX G. Physical habitat constituents assessed during benthic macroinvertebrate surveys of the Carmel River
- APPENDIX H. Instantaneous water quality constituents assessed during benthic macroinvertebrate surveys of the Carmel River
- APPENDIX I. Carmel River flow and water temperature
- APPENDIX J. Carmel River benthic macroinvertebrate taxa lists from samples collected in March and May 1982 (Fields 1984)

LIST OF TABLES

- Table 1. Carmel River monitoring locations including year of sampling for benthic macroinvertebrates (B) and habitat assessment (H). Fall season unless indicated otherwise.8
- Table 2. Commonly reported biological metric values including cumulative project totals and sample statistics for the Carmel River Bioassessment Program.15

Table 3.	Numerically dominant benthic macroinvertebrate taxa sampled from the Carmel River in the fall and spring seasons (years 2001 to 2003). Also shown is the percentage of individuals subsampled that comprised the seven most dominant taxa.	21
Table 4.	Intolerant benthic macroinvertebrate taxa and individuals sampled from Carmel River monitoring sites. CTV: California Tolerance Value.	23
Table 5.	Index of biotic integrity values for CCAMP sites for the spring season from riffle habitat.	31

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.	Benthic macroinvertebrate sampling stations in the Carmel River system.	9
Figure 2.	Indices of biotic integrity for benthic macroinvertebrates sampled from monitoring sites within the Carmel River. IBI values are for the fall season unless noted otherwise with an “sp”, which denotes spring season samples. Site average IBI values are shown as horizontal dashed lines.	16
Figure 3.	Nonmetric multidimensional scaling ordination of relative sample similarity as a function of BMI taxonomic composition showing samples (triangles) grouped by site type. Increasing variation in taxonomic composition corresponds to increasing distance in ordination space between samples. Sample units are labeled with the site code and grouped by sample type: reference and non-reference sites.	17
Figure 4.	Plots showing annual trend of IBI values for Carmel River monitoring sites. Pearson correlation (r) coefficients and probability values (p) are shown. Sites with significant change in IBI values have p values less than 0.05.	18
Figure 5.	Nonmetric multidimensional scaling ordination of relative sample similarity as a function of BMI taxonomic composition showing samples (triangles) grouped by season of sampling. Increasing variation in taxonomic composition corresponds to increasing distance in ordination space between samples. Sample units are labeled with site code.	19
Figure 6.	Relative abundances of benthic macroinvertebrate functional feeding groups sampled from Carmel River monitoring sites.	24
Figure 7.	Benthic macroinvertebrate abundance and biovolume from benthic samples collected at Carmel River monitoring sites.	26
Figure 8.	Benthic macroinvertebrate index of biotic integrity vs. substrate index (weighted mean midpoint substratum size) for Carmel River samples collected at indicated sites.	28

Figure 9. Benthic macroinvertebrate index of biotic integrity vs. substrate index (weighted mean midpoint substratum size) for Carmel River samples showing regression line and coefficient of determination.29

Figure 10. Nonmetric multidimensional scaling ordination of relative sample similarity as a function of BMI taxonomic composition showing samples (geometric symbols) grouped by site. Increasing variation in taxonomic composition corresponds to increasing distance in ordination space between samples. Joint plot of environmental variables and one biotic variable (IBI) are shown as radiating from the center of the sample cluster, with each line indicating both the direction and strength of correlation with the graph axes.30