EXHIBIT 14-A

BRAINSTORMING TEMPLATE FOR WATER SUPPLY ALTERNATIVES— MPWMD Special Workshop, August 25, 2011

Alternative Categ	ory:	(ASR, desal, recycle, etc)
	<u> </u>	(he specific)
•		/
• •		(dagariba)
Project Description		
J		(describe concept)
ATTRIBUTE		NOTES and COMMENTS
	Identify t	tasks needed to obtain essential information
YIELD (AFY)	Consider factors that might a	ffect yield; what is expected lite?
*Avg./long-term *Minimum	11 ma	ffect yield; what is expected life? problemed one of allowed problemed problemed one of the clower from the future
*Maximum *Maximum	- A O Mindoon	Ston ((1005 of AF) Be Culled
W.	cerus produc	The Ruteere
7 1	to Sour as d	nought previous
COST	Consider factors that might a	iffect costs 7000-12000
*Capital cost	100	Labory Me 1914 water rights to active local take from Sa
*0&M	/ Can (lark	-cacony pie 111
*Unit cost (\$/AF)		La other local take from De
	be defended	2 Poccession of the second
	Pevar 1	duy esternate of cost + tumin
COLUMN TO THE TENT	Consider factors that affect ti	imelinato water delivery
TIMELINE	Consider factors that affect the	imeline to water delivery. Deen to squared? Cuhat is
*CEQA/NEPA *Permits (see below)	luly has The	our no regrova : when
*Site Acquisition	1 AD 1200	
*Design *Construction	porteman	(/////)
*Water Delivery	A longitude	Van - Mara It
	Nanay 150	Alle Cell
PROS & CONS	Nancy Isa mcuber	100
*Benefits	Ex: timely, drought-proof, at	ffordable
Denomics	,	
*Dwarryhe alza	Ex: uncertain technology, his	gh cost, weather dependent
*Drawbacks	DA. uncorum teemology, in	Du 1994 turing mek 1994
		2
•		
OTHER		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		-
		·
PERMITS		
*Federal Agencies	NEPA, ESA, 404, 401	USFWS, NMFS, Corps, EPA, Sanctuary
*State Agencies	CEQA, Water Rights, 1601/1603 Traffic, Air Quality, Wells	SWRCB, RWQCB, CDFG, CCC, CDPH = TAMC, MBUAPCD, County (Health and Planning), cities
*Local Agencies	Hame, An Quanty, wens	11 HTC, WIDOTH CD, COMB (House and Laming), ones

BRAINSTORMING TEMPLATE FOR WATER SUPPLY ALTERNATIVES—MPWMD Special Workshop, August 25, 2011

Alternative Categ	orv: (ASR, desal, recycle, etc)				
*	Pulanto Water Rights (be specific)				
Project Sponsors:	City i Monteren (suggested sponsor)				
Location: Salin	as Valley Aguster (describe)				
Project Description	on: divert water from SVA				
	(describe concept)				
	NOTES LOOMANDIES				
ATTRIBUTE	NOTES and COMMENTS				
	Identify tasks needed to obtain essential information				
YIELD (AFY)	Consider factors that might affect yield; what is expected life?				
*Avg./long-term *Minimum	•				
*Maximum					
	Consider factors that might affect costs				
*Capital cost	Consider factors that might affect costs				
*O&M					
*Unit cost (\$/AF)					
TIMELINE	Consider factors that affect timeline to water delivery.				
*CEQA/NEPA	Consider Metals Man Larest Man La				
*Permits (see below)					
*Site Acquisition *Design					
*Construction					
*Water Delivery					
PROS & CONS					
*Benefits	Ex: timely, drought-proof, affordable				
*Drawbacks	Ex: uncertain technology, high cost, weather dependent				
	DF- not create new water				
	Pi Tiof o assess the same of t				
	Ė				
OTHER					

PERMITS *Federal Agencies *State Agencies *Local Agencies	NEPA, ESA, 404, 401 CEQA, Water Rights, 1601/1603 Traffic, Air Quality, Wells	USFWS, NMFS, Corps, EPA, Sanctuary SWRCB, RWQCB, CDFG, CCC, CDPH TAMC, MBUAPCD, County (Health and Planning), cities
--	---	--

BRAINSTORMING TEMPLATE FOR WATER SUPPLY ALTERNATIVES—MPWMD Special Workshop, August 25, 2011

Altownstire Cate	(ASR, desal, recycle, etc)
Alternative Categ	CARROLLY en large ment "Hugering" (be specific)
Project Name:	CAPITAL LAY COLLING COLOR
Project Sponsors:	(describe)
Location:	ALL BARRICH CHAIL
Project Description	(describe concept)
	(describe concept)
	NOTES and COMMENTS
ATTRIBUTE	Identify tasks needed to obtain essential information
YIELD (AFY)	Consider factors that might affect yield; what is expected life?
*Avg./long-term *Minimum	
*Maximum	·
	· ·
COST	Consider factors that might affect costs
*Capital cost	
*0&M	
*Unit cost (\$/AF)	
	G 11 C 4 d 4 CC 44 in line to water delivery
TIMELINE	Consider factors that affect timeline to water delivery.
*CEQA/NEPA *Permits (see below)	
*Site Acquisition	
*Design *Construction	
*Water Delivery	
•	
PROS & CONS	-
*Benefits	Ex: timely, drought-proof, affordable
*Drawbacks	Ex: uncertain technology, high cost, weather dependent
DIAWDACKS	
	=
OTHER	USO A WAREN SCHOOL ON SAND Auger 40 Moul
	An Average anneal amount of vatered supel deposits
	indo the had and will and law to parsolace the
	Day und will a so fill the way a for stand
	(the search of the first of the first of the Flore
DEDMITE	This wells prevent forthe fier from 511 41014 of the DA
PERMITS *Federal Agencies	NEPA, ESA, 404, 401 USFWS, NMFS, Corps, EPA, Sanctuary
*State Agencies	CEQA, Water Rights, 1601/1603 = SWRCB, RWQCB, CDFG, CCC, CDPH
*Local Agencies	Traffic, Air Quality, Wells TAMC, MBUAPCD; County (Health and Planning), cities

U:\Henri\wp\tmsupply\2011\FACTSHEET_template_undefined projects_HSV2_20110802.docx

S Main Advantage is A love cost non-dawnging

CAPACILP Drolectery Project

BRAINSTOR	MING TEMP MPWMD	LATE FOR Special Wor	WATER SUPPLY ALTI kshop, August 25, 2011	(w) Sord T
Alternative Categor	y: NGV	\mathcal{U} \mathcal{L}	500	(ASR, desal, recycle, etc)
Project Name:	VIW WI)~De	59L	(be specific)
Project Sponsors:	F	1001		(suggested sponsor)
Location:	Sino	CITE	7	(describe)
Project Description:				
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				(describe concept)

ATTRIBUTE	NOTES and COMMENTS Identify tasks needed to obtain essential information
YIELD (AFY) *Avg./long-term *Minimum	Consider factors that might affect yield; what is expected life?
*Maximum	
*Cost *Capital cost *O&M *Unit cost (\$/AF)	Consider factors that might affect costs 280 -320 mc Capital
*CEQA/NEPA *Permits (see below) *Site Acquisition *Design *Construction *Water Delivery	Consider factors that affect timeline to water delivery. Sycom
PROS & CONS	
*Benefits	Ex: timely, drought-proof, affordable
*Drawbacks	Ex: uncertain technology, high cost, weather dependent
OTHER	

PERMITS *Federal Agencies *State Agencies	1 22 6 3	USFWS, NMFS, Corps, EPA, Sanctuary SWRCB, RWQCB, CDFG, CCC, CDPH
*State Agencies *Local Agencies	CEQA, Water Rights, 1601/1603 Traffic, Air Quality, Wells	SWRCB, RWQCB, CDFG, CCC, CDPH TAMC, MBUAPCD, County (Health and Planning), cities

Comparison of Peninsula Water Supply Projects

by D. Dilworth for Helping Our Peninsula's Environment December 2010

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	"Right-Sized" Water District Desal at Sand City	''Regional'' Desal Project at Marina
Size in Acre feet	8,800 - 10,000+ (Probably only need 7,800)	12,000 +
Cost in millions	~180-240 (est)	~350 - 500 (est)
EIR	Draft Administrative complete	Final, certified
Water Delivery Date	3 (three) years	5 (five) years
Hydrogeological Testing	Done. Hydrogeology is adequate - clay layers are sufficient.	None, zero.
Agency in Charge	Monterey Water District - successful 1,100 acre feet aquifer injection & recovery plant.	Marina Coast - who failed to keep a tiny 200 acre foot Desal plant working.
Ownership	On Peninsula - Monterey Water District	Off Peninsula - Marina Coast
Location	On Peninsula - Voters in Charge	Off Peninsula - Voters Cut Off

For the most up-to-date information please see -- 1hope.org/waterpuc