8313930472

Gary Wiegand 50 Miramonte Road Carmel Valley, CA 93924

RECEIVED

May 7, 2009

MAY - 7 2009

MPWMD

Mr. Darby Fuerst, General Manager Monterey Peninsula Water Management District 5 Harris Court, Bldg. G P.O. Box 85 Monterey, CA 93942-0085

Subject: Hidden Hills Unit of California-American Water Distribution System Suspension of Receipt of Applications for New or Intensified Water Use Addendum to Letter of 4-06-2009

Dear Mr. Fuerst:

This letter serves as an addendum to my April 6, 2009 letter to you concerning the suspension of receipt of applications for new water use in the Hidden Hills Unit of California-American Water Distribution System. I would like this letter to be included in the Board packet for their meeting on May 21, 2009 at which meeting they are to consider my appeal of the suspension of application receipts hereinafter referred to as the connection moratorium.

In my April 6, 2009 letter in stated that the PREC for Hidden Hills connections is substantially less than the PREC for connections in the Cal-Am Bishop subsystem, but I did not know the exact amount of the Bishop PREC. I have since learned that the PREC for the Bishop connections is 0.65 AFY/connection based on a production limit of 295 AFY and 454 connections. The PREC for Hidden Hills is 0.482 AFY/connection based on a production limit of 229.2 AFY and 477 connections.

I would like to know how the District justifies allowing Bishop users more water than Hidden Hills users when both systems draw water from wells in the same aquifer? I would like to know how the Board finds that it is fair and equitable to allow water for lots in Pasadera that were created about twelve years ago and not allow me to obtain water for my lot that was created over forty years ago?

The District states that the current average production per lot in Hidden Hills is 0.521 AFY, or 0.039 AFY more than the allowed PREC of 0.482 AFY. If all of the lots drawing water from the Laguna Seca subbasin were allotted an equal amount of water the PREC would be 0.564 AFY for all connections. If the water were allocated equitably, the Hidden Hills users would be 0.043 AFY under the allowed PREC, not 0.039 AFY over. Is there any reason why lots in Pasadera should have more water than those in Hidden Hills? I ask that the Board adjust the production limits of these two systems so that the allocation of water is fair and equitable.

8313930472

Darby Fuerst, MPWMD May 7, 2009 Page 2 of 2

Condition #3 of the "Conditions for Approval for Amendment to Hidden Hills Unit Water Distribution System" adopted by MPWMD Board on April 16, 2001 states, "the expansion capacity limit is set at 477 water connections, an increase of 14 connections, in order to serve only the existing legal lots of record defined in Table 1 of the application dated December 6, 2000." Why has the District allowed additional connections for subdivisions creating lots since that date?

I look forward to discussing these issues with the Board at the May 21, 2009 meeting.

Sincerely,

Wiepand Gary Wiegand