Monterey Peninsula Water Management District

EXHIBIT 10-A

Changes to the Draft EIR/EA

Table ES-1. Summary of Impacts and Mitigation Measures for the Proposed Project

Issue Area	Potential Impact	Significance Determination without Mitigation	Mitigation	Significance Determination with Mitigation
Air Quality	AQ-1: Short-Term Increase in PM10 Emissions from Well Drilling	Less than Significant	None required	Less than Significant
	AQ-2: Short-Term Increase in PM10 Emissions from Pipeline Construction	Less than Significant	None required	Less than Significant
	AQ-3: Short-Term Increase in PM10 Emissions from Building Construction	Less than Significant	None required	Less than Significant
	AQ-4: Exposure of Sensitive Receptors to Elevated Health Risks from Exposure to	Less than Significant	Mitigation Measure AQ 1. Use Newer, Cleaner Burning Engines.	Less than Significant
	Diesel Particulate Matter from Construction Activities		Mitigation Measure AQ 2. Limit Construction Duration.	
	AQ-5: Exposure of Sensitive Receptors to Elevated Health Risks from Exposure to Acrolein Emissions from Diesel Exhaust from	Less than Significant Significant	Mitigation Measure AQ 1. Use Newer, Cleaner Burning Engines.  Mitigation Measure AQ 2. Limit	Less than Significant
	Construction Activities		Construction Duration.	
Vegetation and Wildlife	BIO-1: Removal of Maritime Chaparral	Less than significant	None required	Less than significant
	BIO-2: Disturbance of the Fort Ord NRMA	Significant	Mitigation Measure BIO-1: Minimize or Prevent Disturbance to Adjacent NRMA	Less than significant
	BIO-3: Destruction of Monterey Spineflower, Sandmat Manzanita, Eastwood's Goldenbush, and Kellogg's Horkelia	Less than significant	None required	Less than significant
	BIO-4: Potential Direct Mortality or Disturbance of California Horned Lizards and Potential Permanent and Temporary Loss of California Horned Lizard Habitat	Less than significant	None required	Less than significant

Issue Area	Potential Impact	Significance Determination without Mitigation	Mitigation	Significance Determination with Mitigation
	BIO-5: Potential Direct Mortality or Disturbance of Black Legless Lizards and Potential Permanent and Temporary Loss of Black Legless Lizard Habitat	Significant	None required; mitigation is included in the Fort Ord Multispecies Habitat Management Plan	Less than significant
	BIO-6: Potential Direct Mortality or Disturbance of Monterey Dusky-Footed Woodrat and Potential Permanent and Temporary Loss of Monterey Dusky-Footed Woodrat Habitat	Significant	None required; mitigation is included in the Fort Ord Multispecies Habitat Management Plan	Less than significant
	BIO-7: Potential Direct Mortality or Disturbance of American Badger and Potential Permanent and Temporary Loss of American Badger Habitat	Less than significant	None required	Less than significant
	BIO-8: Potential Loss of Nest Trees and Disturbance or Mortality of Migratory Birds	Less than significant	Mitigation Measure BIO-4: Remove Trees and Shrubs during the Nonbreeding Season for Most Birds (September 1 To February 15).	Less than significant
Aquatic Resources	AR-1: Improved Flows for Upstream Migration Change in Flows for Adult Steelhead Upstream Migration	Beneficial	Mitigation Measure AR-1: Conduct Annual Survey Below River Mile 5.5 and Monitor River Flow in January-June Period.	Beneficial
			None required	
	AR-2: Change in Juvenile Steelhead Rearing Habitat	Beneficial	Mitigation Measure AR-5-2: Cooperate to help develop a Project to Maintain, Recover, or Increase Storage in Los Padres Reservoir and If Needed, Continue Funding Program to Rescue and Rear Isolated Juveniles	Beneficial
	AR-3: Improved Flows for Fall/Winter Downstream Migration	Beneficial	None required	Beneficial

Issue Area	Potential Impact	Significance Determination without Mitigation	Mitigation	Significance Determination with Mitigation
	AR-4: Maintenance of Flows for Spring Emigration	Beneficial	None required	Beneficial
	AR-5: Changes in California Red-legged Frog Habitat Due to Changes in River Flows	Beneficial	None required	Beneficial
	AR-6: Changes in Habitat for Other Aquatic Species Due to Changes in River Flows	Beneficial	None required	Beneficial
Cultural Resources	CR-1: Potential for Discovery of Buried Cultural Deposits and Human Remains during Construction of the Well and Pipelines	Significant	Mitigation Measure CR-1: Stop Work If Buried Cultural Deposits Are Encountered during Construction Activities.	Less than significant
			Mitigation Measure CR-2: Stop Work If Human Remains Are Encountered during Construction Activities.	
Geology, Soils, and Seismicity	GS-1: Potential Short-Term Increase in Erosion Resulting from Project Construction	Less than significant	None required	Less than significant
	GS-2: Potential Structural Damage and Threat to Public Safety from Fault Displacement and Ground Shaking during a Seismic Event	Less than significant	None required	Less than significant
	GS-3: Potential Structural Damage and Threat to Public Safety from Earthquake-Induced Liquefaction and Lateral Spread	Less than significant	None required	Less than significant
	GS-4: Potential Rupture of Pipelines and Threat to Public Safety Caused by Expansive Soils and Pipeline Corrosion	Less than significant	None required	Less than significant
Surface and Groundwater Hydrology and Water Quality	GWH-1: Changes in Seaside Basin Groundwater Storage	Beneficial	None required	Beneficial
·	GWH-2: Short-Term Changes in Seaside Basin Groundwater Quantity	Less than significant	None required	Less than significant

Issue Area	Potential Impact	Significance Determination without Mitigation	Mitigation	Significance Determination with Mitigation
	GWH-3: Long-Term Changes in Seaside Basin Groundwater Levels	Beneficial	None required	Beneficial
	GWH-4: Changes in Seaside Basin Groundwater Levels in Overlying Units	Less than significant	None required	Less than significant
	GWH-5: Potential for Seaside Basin Hydrofracturing	Less than significant	None required	Less than significant
	GWH-6: Short-Term Change in Seaside Basin Groundwater Quality	Less than sign <u>i</u> ficant	Mitigation Measure GWH-1: Comply with Performance Standards in NPDES Permits	Less than significant
	GWH-7: Long-Term Change in Seaside Basin Groundwater Quality From Mixing Groundwater with Injected Water	Less than significant	Mitigation Measure GWH-2: Operate Project in Compliance with SWRCB and DHS Policies	Less than significant
			Mitigation Measure GWH-3: Modify Project Operations as Required by Results of Monitoring	
	GWH-8: Changes in Seaside Basin Groundwater Quality Caused by ASR Well Operation Discharges	Less than sign <u>i</u> ficant	None required	Less than significant
	GWH-9: Changes in Seaside Basin Recovered Water Quality	Less than significant	None required	Less than significant
	GWH-10: Effects on Other Seaside Basin Groundwater Users	Beneficial	None required	Beneficial
	GWH-11: Changes in Carmel River Streamflow During High Flow Periods	Less than significant	Mitigation Measure GWH-4: Operate Project in Compliance with NOAA Fisheries Recommendations, and Reduce Unlawful Diversions	Less than significant
	GWH-12: Changes in Carmel Valley Alluvial Aquifer Storage During High Flow Periods	Beneficial	None required	Beneficial

Issue Area	Potential Impact	Significance Determination without Mitigation	Mitigation	Significance Determination with Mitigation
	GWH-13: Changes in Carmel River Streamflow During Low Flow Periods	Less than significant	Mitigation Measure GWH-4: Operate Project in Compliance with NOAA Fisheries Recommendations, and Reduce Unlawful Diversions	Less than significant
	GWH-14: Changes in Carmel Valley Alluvial Aquifer Storage During Low Flow Periods	Beneficial	None required	Beneficial
Land Use	LU-1: Disruption of Existing Land Uses or Neighborhoods during Construction of the Well Site	Less than significant	None required	Less than significant
	LU-2: Disruption of Existing Land Uses or Neighborhoods during Construction of the Santa Margarita Well Pipeline and New Well Pipeline	Less than significant	None required	Less than significant
	LU-3: Incompatibility with Existing Adjacent Land Uses from Operation of the Proposed Pipelines and Well	Less than significant	None required	Less than significant
	LU-4: Potential Inconsistencies with Relevant Land Use Plans and Policies from Operation of the Proposed Well and Pipelines	Less than significant	None required	Less than significant

Issue Area	Potential Impact	Significance Determination without Mitigation	Mitigation	Significance Determination with Mitigation
Noise	NZ-1: Exposure of Noise-Sensitive Land Uses to Construction Noise in Excess of Applicable Standards	Significant	Mitigation Measure NZ-1a: Prohibit Ancillary and Unnecessary Equipment During Nighttime Well Drilling Activities.	Less than significant
			Mitigation Measure NZ-1b: Employ Noise-Reducing Construction Practices to Meet Nighttime Standards.	
			Mitigation Measure NZ-1c: Prepare a Noise Control Plan.	
			Mitigation Measure NZ-1d: Disseminate Essential Information to Residences and Implement a Complaint/Response Tracking Program.	
	NZ-2: Exposure of Sensitive Land Uses to	Significant	Mitigation Measure NZ-1a	Less than significant
	Construction-Related Vibration Levels in Excess of Applicable Standards		Mitigation Measure NZ-1b	
	11		Mitigation Measure NZ-1c	
			Mitigation Measure NZ-1d	
	NZ-3: Exposure of Sensitive Land Uses to Operational Noise in Excess of City Standards	Significant	Mitigation Measure NZ-2: Design Pump Stations to Meet Local Noise Standards.	Less than significant
Hazardous Materials	HAZ-1: Exposure of Employees and Public to Hazardous Materials during Construction of a Well and Pipelines at the Former Fort Ord	Significant	Mitigation Measure HAZ-1: Implement MEC UXO-Safety Precautions during Grading and Construction Activities at the Project Site.	Less than significant
	HAZ-2: Handling and Use of Hazardous Materials during construction within 0.25 Mile of a School	Less than significant	None required	Less than significant

Issue Area	Potential Impact	Significance Determination without Mitigation	Mitigation	Significance Determination with Mitigation
	HAZ-3: Potential Creation of a Hazard to the Public and Environment from Routine Use of Hazardous Materials or Accidental Release of Hazardous Materials during Operation of the Well Site	Less than significant	None required	Less than significant
	HAZ-4: Handling of Hazardous Materials during operation within 0.25 Mile of a School	Less than significant	None required	Less than significant
	HAZ-5: Public Exposure to Contaminated Drinking Water	Less than significant	None required	Less than significant
Public Services and Utilities	PS-1: Increase in Solid Waste Generation and Construction Debris during Construction of Well and Pipelines	Less than significant	None required	Less than significant
	PS-2: Temporary Disruption of Existing Underground Utilities and Utility Service during Construction of Well and Pipelines	Significant	Mitigation Measure PS-2: Coordinate Relocation and Interruptions of Service with Utility Providers during Construction	Less than significant
			Mitigation Measure PS-3: Protect All Existing UtilitiesSlated to Remain	
	PS-3: Increased Demand for Electricity from Operation of ASR Facilities	Less than significant	None required	Less than significant
Transportation and Circulation	TR-1: Temporary Traffic Increase and Potential for Level of Service Degradation during Construction of Wells and Pipelines	Less than significant	None required	Less than significant
	TR-2: Potential Conflict with Fixed-Route Monterey-Salinas Transit Service during Construction of Wells and Pipelines	Less than significant	None required	Less than significant
	TR-3: Potential Pedestrian and Bicycle Hazards from Pathway and Bikeway Closures or Disruption during Construction of Well and Pipelines	Less than significant	None required	Less than significant

Issue Area	Potential Impact	Significance Determination without Mitigation	Mitigation	Significance Determination with Mitigation
	TR-4: Potential for Increased Traffic and Level of Service Degradation from Operation and Maintenance of the Well Site	Less than significant	None required	Less than significant
	TR-5: Increased Parking Demand Attributable to Operations and Maintenance of the Well	Less than significant	None required	Less than significant
Visual Resources	VIS-1: Temporary Alteration of Scenic Views during Construction of Well and Pipelines	Less than significant	None required	Less than significant
	VIS-2: Degrade Existing Visual Character during Construction of Well and Pipelines	Less than significant	None required	Less than significant
	VIS-3: Creation of Light and Glare during Construction of Well and Pipelines	Less than significant	None required	Less than significant
	VIS-4: Alteration of Existing Visual Character at Well Site	Less than significant	None required	Less than significant
	VIS-5: Creation of New Light and Glare at Well Site	Significant	Mitigation Measure VIS-3: Incorporate Light-Reduction Measures into the Plan and Design of Exterior Lighting at Well Site.	Less than significant
Cumulative Impacts	The Proposed Project could result in cumulative impacts on traffic and transportation	Less than significant	None required	Less than significant
	The Proposed Project could result in a considerable contribution to NOx and PM10 emissions when considered together with other projects that could be constructed in the same timeframe.	Significant	Mitigation Measure Cume-1: Coordinate with Relevant Local Agencies to Develop and Implement a Phased Construction Plan to Reduce Cumulative Traffic, Air Quality, and Noise Impacts	Less than significant

Issue Area	Potential Impact	Significance Determination without Mitigation	Mitigation	Significance Determination with Mitigation
	The Proposed Project could contribute considerably to construction noise and vibration, affecting sensitive receptors when considered together with other projects that could be constructed in the same timeframe in the same area and affecting the same sensitive noise receptors.	Significant	Mitigation Measure Cume-1	Less than significant
	Construction of the well and associated pipelines could result in the loss or disturbance to special-status plant and wildlife species or their habitat.	Less than significant	None required	Less than significant
	There would be a cumulative energy effect from the Proposed Project because operation of the new ASR well would require 10,000 killowat hours of electricity daily.	Less than significant	None required	Less than significant