

EXHIBIT 11-L

333 SALINAS STREET POST OFFICE BOX 2510 SALINAS, CA 93902-2510 831-424-1414 FAX 831-424-1975

SALINAS 831-424-1414 MONTEREY 831-373-3622 KING CITY 831-386-1080

GILROY 408-846-1118

E-MAIL: CKEMP@NHEH.COM

RECEIVED

Attorneys at Law A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

JAN 27 2005

FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

MPWMD

DATE: January 27, 2005

TIME:

RECIPIENT	FACSIMILE NO.	TELEPHONE No. (831) 647-7755		
Supervisor David Potter	(831) 647-7695			
County of Monterey, District 5	(031) 04/-/093			
Ms. Henrietta Stem	644-9560			
Water Management District	011-2500			
David C. Laredo, Esq.	646-0377			
DeLay and Laredo	040-0377			
Mr. Larry Foy	625-9974			
	023-3314			

FROM:	Christine Gianascol Kemp	TRANSMITTED BY:			
RE:	Bardis Water Distribution System				
NUMBER OF	PAGES TRANSMITTED, INCLUDING C	COVER: 1 CLIENT MATTER NO.: 18363.000			
W URGE	NT FOR REVIEW	☐ PLEASE COMMENT ☐ FOR YOUR INFORMATION			
MESSAG	E:				
P. oparca	be very clear that the propo by me and that the footer w n the document. I apologize	osed Findings I submitted last night by fax were with Henrietta Stern's name was inadvertently e for the oversight.			

CONFIDENTIAL

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS FACSIMILE IS CONFIDENTIAL AND MAY ALSO CONTAIN PRIVILEGED ATTOKNEY-CLIENT INFORMATION OR WORK PRODUCT. THE INFORMATION IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE INDIVIDUAL OR ENTITY TO WHOM IT IS ADDRESSED. IF YOU ARE NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, OR THE EMPLOYEE OR AGENT RESPONSIBLE TO DELIVER IT TO THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT ANY USE, DISCRIMINATION DISCLOSURE DISTRIBUTION CORVING OR THE TAKING OF ANY ACTION IN DELIVING ON THE CONTENTS OF THIS COMMINICATION IS DISSEMINATION, DISCLOSURE, DISTRIBUTION, COPYING OR THE TAKING OF ANY ACTION IN RELIANCE ON THE CONTENTS OF THIS COMMUNICATION IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS FACSIMILE IN ERROR, IMMEDIATELY NOTIFY US BY TELEPHONE AND RETURN THE ORIGINAL MESSAGE TO US AT THE ADDRESS ABOVE VIA THE UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE. THANK YOU.

HARD CC	PY	WI	LL:
---------	----	----	-----

☐ FOLLOW BY OVERNIGHT COURIE		FOLLOW	BYO	VERNIGHT	COURIER
------------------------------	--	--------	-----	----------	---------

DRAFT MONTEREY PENINSULA WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT (MPWMD)

FINDINGS of APPROVAL of APPEAL

CONSIDER APPEAL OF HEARING OFFICER DETERMINATION RE: APPROVAL OF BARDIS WATER DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM; APN 169-181-051

Adopted by MPWMD Board of Directors on January 27, 2005

Unless otherwise noted, all evidence is on file and available for public review at the District office, 5 Harris Court, Bldg. G, Monterey (Ryan Ranch)

It is hereby found and determined as follows:

1. FINDING:

Applicant Christo Bardis is the owner of property at the intersection of Carmel Valley and Schulte Roads, Carmel Valley. The existing parcel (APN 169-181-051) is 10.02 acres in size. Applicant applied for a permit to create the Bardis Water Distribution System (WDS) for a well to provide both potable and non-potable water on existing parcel APN 169-181-051 as allowed by Monterey County zoning and health regulations. The subject property is zoned LDR (Monterey County Code Section 21.14.030).

EVIDENCE:

Application #20040426BAR, site map and application materials dated April 26, 2004 available for review at District office.

2. FINDING:

A well permit was issued by the Monterey County Health Department in February 2004. The well was constructed on the subject property and tested in July 2004.

EVIDENCE:

Monterey County Health Department permit #04-06798; State DWR Well Completion Report #0900420.

3. FINDING:

Based on a public hearing held on November 19, 2004, the MPWMD Staff Hearing Officer, on November 24, 2004, approved the application to create the Bardis WDS subject to 20 conditions.

EVIDENCE:

MPWMD WDS Permit #S04-03 and associated Final Conditions of Approval, Final Findings of Approval and CEQA Notice of Exemption, all dated November 24, 2004. MPWMD Hearing Record for hearing held on November 19, 2004; MPWMD Order Following Hearing prepared by Hearing Officer on November 24, 2004.

4. FINDING:

The applicant filed a timely appeal of the Hearing Officer's determination in accordance with MPWMD Rule 22-A-2 and Rule 70. The applicant agrees with the total production limit of 14.910 AFY, but requests a higher allotment than 0.444 AFY for the residential indoor and landscape irrigation uses. Specifically, an amount of 0.50 AFY was requested for indoor uses only; alternatively, an amount of 0.70 AFY was requested for the combined residential indoor and landscape irrigation uses for the home. The remaining amount would be designated for the agricultural use.

EVIDENCE:

December 20, 2004 Application for Appeal, including cover letter, application form, exhibits, check for \$750, and Disclosure Statement. MPWMD Rules and Regulations.

Rationale for Granting the Appeal

5. FINDING:

Based on data provided in the application, applicable zoning regulations, previous County land use approvals, and previous litigation, 14.91 acrefect per year (AFY) of water can legally be used on the subject parcel.

EVIDENCE:

Application #20040426BAR and subsequent materials submitted to District as contained in application file; Monterey County Superior Court Case No. M43343 (Save Our Carmel River v. County of Monterey, et al.), including Stipulation and Order re: Water Usage on Remainder Parcel.

6. FINDING:

Condition No. 3 of Permit #S04-03 issued by the Hearing Officer set a system capacity (production limit) of 14.910 acre-feet per year (AFY) divided as follows: 0.444 AFY for residential uses, including landscape irrigation, and 14.466 AFY for agricultural irrigation. The allocation of .444 AFY for residential use was based on a limited interpretation of the 1994 Grice Engineering Report, limiting the number of persons residing in a home on this parcel to 3.17 persons. The correct allocation for residential use, including landscape irrigation, for a house of the size to be served by this well is .7 AFY, with the remaining 14.21 AFY allocated for agricultural use based on the water demand figures of the 1994 Grice report based on a household population of five (5) persons per residence for the four bedroom home to be served by this well.

EVIDENCE:

Monterey County Superior Court Case No. M43343 (Save Our Carmel River v. County of Monterey, et al.), including Stipulation and Order re: Water Usage on Remainder Parcel dated June 7, 2002. Hydrology Study and Nitrate Loading Assessment for the Mills College Property Minor Subdivision at Carmel Valley Road and Schulte Road, Carmel Valley, prepared by Grice Engineering, Inc., dated November 14, 1994 based on

the water demand figures of a household population of five (5) persons per residence¹ for the four bedroom home to be served by this well.

7. FINDING:

The 1994 Grice Report is outdated and the report assumptions an average family size of 3.17 persons per parcel which is too low for the size home that is being built on this 10 acre site. The Grice Report could not have predicted the specific family size of future owners of the parcels evaluated in 1994. MPWMD Rules and Regulations stress use of water-using fixtures or other more stable units of measurement (such as regional averages), rather than individual family size at a particular point in time, due to the ever-changing nature of individual family situations. The District's Rules and Regulations and Water Release Form, created there under, demonstrate that .7 AFY is the proper amount of water to be allocated to the home that is being proposed for this site.

EVIDENCE:

MPWMD Rules and Regulations; MPWMD's Water Release and Water Permit Application Form, created there under, for the home proposed for this site. Monterey County Superior Court Case No. M43343 (Save Our Carmel River v. County of Monterey, et al.), including Stipulation and Order re: Water Usage on Remainder Parcel, dated June 7, 2002. Hydrology Study and Nitrate Loading Assessment for the Mills College Property Minor Subdivision at Carmel Valley Road and Schulte Road, Carmel Valley, prepared by Grice Engineering, Inc., dated November 14, 1994 based on the water demand figures for a household population of five (5) persons per residence for the four bedroom home to be served by this well.

8. FINDING:

Four neighboring parcels involved in the original Mills College Subdivision received a Monterey County water allotment of 1.0 AFY per parcel. Equal treatment of this applicant from MPWMD would allocate 1.0 AFY for residential use, with landscape irrigation to this home. The .7 AFY for residential use, with landscape irrigation is consistent with the amount of water allocated to adjacent homes.

EVIDENCE:

Monterey County Superior Court Case No. M43343 (Save Our Carmel River v. County of Monterey, et al.), including Stipulation and Order re: Water Usage on Remainder Parcel, dated June 7, 2002. Hydrology Study and Nitrate Loading Assessment for the Mills College Property Minor Subdivision at Carmel Valley Road and Schulte Road, Carmel Valley, prepared by Grice Engineering, Inc., dated November 14, 1994 based on the water demand figures for a household population of five (5) persons per residence for the four bedroom home to be served by this well.

9. FINDING:

Denial of the appeal prevents the applicant from enjoying beneficial use of the property. The water allocation of .444 AFY for residential use with landscape irrigation is inadequate for the home planned for this site and inconsistent with MPWMD's own Rules and Regulations, which through its Water Release and Water Permit Application Form, show that .7 AFY is the appropriate water use allocation for a home of this size.

EVIDENCE:

MPWMD Rules and Regulations.

10. FINDING:

The application to create the Bardis WDS, along with supporting materials, is in accordance with District Rules 21 and 22.

EVIDENCE:

Permit application #20040426BAR submitted to District on April 26, 2004, and supplemental materials on file at District office.

Required Findings (MPWMD Rule 22-B)

11. FINDING:

The approval of the permit would allow duplication of water service as the subject property is currently served by Cal-Am. However, the duplication of service is not considered to be unnecessary because of existing limitations and constraints on Cal-Am by the State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) Order 95-10 and the need to reduce Cal-Am diversions from the Carmel River for many years until a replacement source is developed.

EVIDENCE:

SWRCB Order WR 95-10 limiting Cal-Am production from Carmel River alluvial aquifer. Monterey County Superior Court Case No. M43343 (Save Our Carmel River v. County of Monterey, et al.), including Stipulation and Order re: Water Usage on Remainder Parcel; and the water demand figures of the 1994 Grice report based on a household population of five (5) persons per residence for the four bedroom home to be served by this well.

12. FINDING:

The approval of the permit would not result in water importation or exportation to or from the District, respectively.

EVIDENCE:

The referenced parcel is located wholly within the MPWMD as shown on District boundary location maps on file at the District office.

13. FINDING:

Approval of the application would not result in significant adverse impacts to the environment that cannot be mitigated by conditions attached to the permit. The system has been evaluated and conditioned to be consistent with previously approved land use approvals from Monterey County as well as CEQA litigation. Water use will be limited to the amount equal to or less than previously approved.

EVIDENCE:

CEQA Notice of Exemption signed January _____2005, (transmitted to County Clerk January _____, 2005) citing CEQA Guidelines Section 15303. Revised MPWMD Permit Condition #3 setting water production

limit at 14.91 AFY, with .07 afy allocated for potable residential use (including outside irrigation) and the remaining 14.21 AFY allocated for non-potable agricultural use, corresponding to uses currently existing and/or previously allowed on the property, uses existing on adjacent properties, and the water demand figures of the 1994 Grice report based on a household population of five (5) persons per residence for the four bedroom home to be served by this well; Monterey County Superior Court Case No. M43343 (Save Our Carmel River v. County of Monterey, et al.), including Stipulation and Order re: Water Usage on Remainder Parcel;

14. FINDING:

The application adequately identifies the claim of right for the source of water supply and provides supporting verification.

EVIDENCE:

Information provided in Application #20040426BAR. Monterey County Superior Court Case No. M43343 (Save Our Carmel River v. County of Monterey, et al.), including Stipulation and Order re: Water Usage on Remainder Parcel;

15. FINDING:

The application demonstrates existence of a long-term reliable source of water supply for the proposed uses. Well testing demonstrated adequate yield; water quality meets primary drinking water standards, but does not meet secondary (aesthetic) standards for chloride, iron, manganese, sulfate and conductivity. Title 22 water quality standards are not required for single-connection systems. Treatment methods are available to remove these contaminants for potable uses, if desired. The District will oppose any application to replace water service for structures served by the Bardis WDS with Cal-Am service if inadequate water quality is the rationale, until full compliance with SWRCB Order 95-10 is achieved.

EVIDENCE:

Well pumping test data from existing on-site well from Granite Drilling dated July 12, 2004. Water quality analysis provided in application materials. MPWMD Implementation Guidelines for Ordinance No. 96 and 105; Monterey County Health Department regulations. Bardis WDS Conditions of Approval, Condition #14.

16. FINDING:

The source of supply is the Carmel Valley Alluvial Aquifer (CVAA) and is shared by other water distribution systems much larger than the subject system; the CVAA as a whole is adversely affected by the cumulative impacts of those systems. The cumulative effects of issuance of a permit for subject property would not be expected to result in significant adverse impacts to the species and habitat dependent on those sources of supply because the water distribution system permit conditions do not allow future land use to differ from those uses already approved by Monterey County, and are consistent with CEQA litigation affecting the property.

EVIDENCE:

Bardis WDS Conditions of Approval, including Condition #3 setting water production limit at 14.91 AFY, with .07 AFY allocated for potable residential use (including outside irrigation) and the remaining 14.21 afy allocated for non-potable agricultural use; Monterey County Superior Court Case No. M43343 (Save Our Carmel River v. County of Monterey, et al.), including Stipulation and Order re: Water Usage on Remainder Parcel; and the water demand figures of the 1994 Grice report based on a household population of five (5) persons per residence for the four bedroom home to be served by this well.

17. FINDING:

The source of supply for the subject parcel is derived from the Monterey Peninsula Water Resources System. The subject parcel overlies the CVAA and has been served to date by water extracted from the CVAA via the Cal-Am system or by two on-site irrigation wells (currently inoperable). The water extractions authorized for this parcel are controlled by Monterey County Superior Court action, including Stipulation and Order.

EVIDENCE:

MPWMD map showing boundaries of Carmel Valley alluvial aquifer and Ordinance No. 96 regulatory area superimposed on Monterey County parcels. Materials submitted with application #20040426BAR. Monterey County Superior Court Case No. M43343 (Save Our Carmel River v. County of Monterey, et al.), including Stipulation and Order re: Water Usage on Remainder Parcel; and the water demand figures of the 1994 Grice report based on a household population of five (5) persons per residence for the four bedroom home to be served by this well.

18. FINDING:

For the new structures and uses supplied by the Bardis WDS, no permanent intertie between the Bardis WDS and any other system is allowed. The property is within the Cal-Am service area, and Cal-Am is required to provide emergency fire protection. Cal-Am supply is also currently available to certain structures on the property (farmhouse and produce stand) and would continue to be available to those structures. Temporary water service could be provided by trucked-in water pursuant to MPWMD Rule 173 if the proposed system experienced a failure or other non-fire emergency situation.

EVIDENCE:

Map of Cal-Am service area available at District office; application #20040426BAR; Bardis WDS Conditions of Approval, Condition #12.

19. FINDING:

A back-flow protection device to prevent contamination of the Cal-Am system will be installed, if necessary, as a condition of this permit.

EVIDENCE:

Bardis WDS Conditions of Approval, Condition #13. MPWMD Rule 22-B-9, and Rule 22-D-1(h).

Minimum Standards for Granting a Permit (MPWMD Rule 22-C)

The application adequately identifies the responsible party as Christo D. 20. FINDING:

Bardis.

EVIDENCE: Permit application #20040426BAR on file at the MPWMD office.

21. FINDING: The application meets the definition of a "single-parcel connection

system" and is therefore exempt from complying with California Title 22

water quality standards.

EVIDENCE: Permit application #20040426BAR on file at the MPWMD office.

District Implementation Guidelines for Ordinance 96 and 105.

22. FINDING: The application identifies the location of the source of supply for water

distribution system (water source and well site).

EVIDENCE: Permit application #20040426BAR on file at the MPWMD office,

including location map. District GIS maps.

23. FINDING: The approval of the application would not create an overdraft or increase

> an existing overdraft of a groundwater basin due to conditions of approval that reflect current land use on the property as well as determinations

based on previous litigation.

EVIDENCE: Bardis WDS Conditions of Approval, Revised Condition #3. Monterey

> County Superior Court Case No. M43343 (Save Our Carmel River v. County of Monterey, et al.), including Stipulation and Order re: Water Usage on Remainder Parcel; and the water demand figures of the 1994 Grice report based on a household population of five (5) persons per

residence for the four bedroom home to be served by this well.

24. FINDING: The approval of the application would not adversely affect the ability of

existing systems to provide water to users due to conditions of approval

that limit future water use to no greater than existing allowed uses.

EVIDENCE: Bardis WDS Conditions of Approval, Revised Condition #3 setting water

> production limit at 14.91 afy, with .07 afy allocated for potable residential use (including outside irrigation) and the remaining 14.21 afy allocated for non-potable agricultural use; Monterey County Superior Court Case No. M43343 (Save Our Carmel River v. County of Monterey, et al.), including

> Stipulation and Order re: Water Usage on Remainder Parcel; and the

water demand figures of the 1994 Grice report based on a household

population of five (5) persons per residence¹ for the four (4) bedroom home to be served by this well.

Compliance with CEQA

25. FINDING:

In the review of this application, MPWMD has followed those guidelines adopted by the State of California and published in the California Administrative Code, Title 14, Section 15000 et seq. Specifically, the MPWMD as a lead agency under CEQA for this action, determined that this action is Categorically Exempt under Category 3, New Construction or Conversion of Small Structures.

EVIDENCE:

CEQA and CEQA Guidelines, Section 15303. Notice of Exemption for Bardis WDS dated January ______, 2005, transmitted to County Clerk January ______, 2005.

Applicant file based on MPWMD file	-	-1 /
Control of code coos in D32003 GAIGHSAI 1 EADGROBAPPI III dille Belliaid 1303.da	148	3/10/05

MONTEREY PENINSULA WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT <u>RESIDENTIAL</u> WATER RELEASE FORM AND WATER PERMIT APPLICATION

NOTE: When approved and stourd by the Jurisdictions, this form must be submitted with fluxi and complete construction class to:

Monterey Pontenula Water Management District Fermit Office

5 Harris Court, Bidg. O - Montarry, CA 93940 - (831) 658-5601 - www.mpwmd doctorus Completing the Water Release Form & Water Permit Application does not guarantee issuance of a water permit. ALL. SPACKS BELOW MUST BE COMPLETED OR THE APPLICATION MAY NOT BE PROCESSED. (Please print flowly) I. OWNERSHIP INFORMATION: 2. ACENT/REPRESENTATIVE INFORMATION: Nume Christo Bardis Dayumo selepnone: (916)3/010-3/0105 Daytime sciephone: Mailing Address. P.O. BOX 1648 Malling Address. tebble Brack ch 93953 3. PROPERTY INFORMATION: Proposed Square-florings 4420 What your was the house constructed? Existing Square-foolage Address. 27310 SChulle Rd Carnel CA 93923 Assessor Parcel Number 169-181-051 Is a water motor needed? (Circle one) Œ If yes, how many meters are requested? One Weter company serving percel __Wel NOTE. Separate water meters are required for each wer. In residential was, separate maters required for all mutiliary housing that includes a kirche 4 PROJECT DESCRIPTION (Be thorough and dentiled): NEW SFR 4420 Sq. ft. and 200 sq. ft. S. INSTRUCTIONS: Table #1 should list the fixtures on the property of they exist before the project. Table #2 should reflect all fixtures on the property <u>effer</u> the project is completed. Only one Master Bath can be designated per residence. TABLE NO. I EXISTING PROPERTY FIXTURE COUNT TARLE NO. 2 POST PROJECT FIXTURE COINT (All focures before project) (All fistures after project) Droc of Finture Fixture Yalne Cami Type of Figure Flatores Yahrs Count Week Dade - ---3 (.0 min -80 w 10 weign 17 mm Total was been for Was their if a pa Tollet, who how flow 1 A galles per Cash Tollet, whose how flow half galless per Cash Teller, show how (news) A gallery per Count.
Teller, when he was a faller per Count.
Teller, when he form had a galler per Count. A 17 mbs VALLE x () ada Urinel (1.P) galler per Auch) سلودا ، ت تها م Udnal (0.5) gallenger (ball) Urind (1.0) galange - 41---E 12 WO Variation . 80 ---Union (C.5) and <u>منت ۸۸ م</u> a 0.0 mpley to or Rath - The wife asserted the way shall z 3.0 ---Lorge bedied (one 55 put) 1 10 min - 30 teri belies) (may have decreased). W. Update and (one decreased). 17.0 mm A J T works x 2.0 min 10 = 2./ 10 = -2./ 10 = -2./ 10 = -6.0 بذرجين وسيم وسلسا ابتث o, (rettiered bank 2 man per - 20about tours 1 20 min Down your states the Kinha dat and ULF didge z LJ wie 2 2.0 min - 200 . 14---Chairm date and DLF Alland Landy/itilly sisk (I only per perlamini sis) Westing Heighlar I II P Winking Markhay op to M gather per syste عالت 15 م ر z 20 mis Districtor (seek additional) x 2.0 min = Lauren Artilley sink () only per trail E 20v / 1 Washing Mark v 10 miles ULF Walking Manager -----UIJ Washing March ٠ ١٨ سند - 15-Mg مهن ساعم 2.0 may CU Waster Market Re-H LO bake -20 mis = 7.0 = 1.0 make Baralek ming part (ex. 100 cq. 2. of met ndik oleh di Assassi (kat Water Systems (Dichess canda) 14m . 7.77 mali der has water opelane aus mentichte der gang -45,2 representation of the property 34.60 Seinates paul (m. 100 pg. R of my Oper) x 70 ---DUSTING FOCTURE ONLY COUNTY TOTAL -PROPOSED FOUNDS UNIT CAUNT TUTAL -67.8 Properties grown than 10,000 floring that 440 registed to extend the In completing that Weter Release Form, the undersigned acknowledges that my discrepancy or michics response to estat instance today. On the property to the property of the activation to the District, or if a difference is Desired upon official responses to the finites. If the finites unit count chappes without addition, went finites installed without a water permit may be cause for minoraphics of the water service to the rise, additional fees and penalties, the finites are property, and deduction of water from the local furthed-crief allocation. The property owner/applicant is required to notify the District and provide architectural drawings as appropriate for each change is the project made prior to use or economy that may effect the project annually to use a matter. 6. I seculify, under penalty of perjury, that the information provided on this Weier Release Form & Weter Fermit Application in an any hose-ledge correct, and the information accuracy reflects water use presently planted for this property. Members of Owner/Aport Date Location Where Signed Print Name AUTHORIZATION FOR WATER PERMIT - JURISDICTION USE ONLY AF Paralta Allocation AF Public Allocation AF Ord. 98 Bathroom

........ No water needed

C:Deciment and Sritingstrakt.com Suthingstrangurary Internet FilestOLK9 Vendondal Mass Application FINAL duc-applicate plat copy-particulation

Authorized by:

This form expires one year trace date of authorization for this project by the jurisdiction.

Frivale Well

Date:

white copyel/PMAD pellow supper

AF PRE-Paralta

NOTES.

SUBMITTED BY APPLICANT