

#### **EXHIBIT 11-A**



WWW.NHEH.COM

E-MAIL CGIANASCOL@NHEH.COM

831-424-1414 EXT. 271

OUR FILE No. 18363.000

Attorneys at Law A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

Harry L. Noland (1904-1991)

Paul M. Hamerly (1920-2000)

Myron E. Etienne, Jr.

James D. Schwefel, Jr.

Stephen W. Pearson

Lloyd W. Lowrey, Jr.

Anne Secker

Randy Meyenberg

Michael Masuda

Christine Gianascol Kemp

Jo Marie Ometer

Terrence R. O'Connor

Lisa Nakata Omori

Laura A. Davis

Dale E. Grindrod

Leslie E. Finnegan Kirk R. Wagner

Timothy J. Baldwin Michael J. DePaul

Stacy S. Camiel

Of Counsel

Peter T. Hoss

Martin J. May

Blanca E. Zarazua

December 20, 2004

RECEIVED

DEC 20 2004

MPWMD

VIA HAND DELIVERY

Ms. Henrietta Stern Monterey Peninsula Water Management District 5 Harris Court, Building G

Monterey, CA 93940

Re:

Bardis Water Distribution System, #20040426BAR

Dear Henrietta:

Enclosed please find the Application for Appeal of the Bardis Water Distribution System Application #20040426BAR. The applicant is not contesting the system capacity production limit of 14.91 acre feet, but does appeal the allocation between residential use and outside non-potable water use. Also enclosed please find the appeal fee in the amount of \$750.00, as well as the Disclosure Statement signed by Mr. Bardis. Please confirm receipt of the appeal and also let us know when this matter will be set for hearing before your Board of Directors.

Sincerely,

NOLAND, HAMERLY, ETIENNE & HOSS

A Professional Corporation

Christine Gianascol Kemp

CGK:ng **Enclosures** 

cc:

Mr. Christo Bardis (with encl.)



5 HARRIS COURT, BLDG. G
POST OFFICE BOX 85
MONTEREY, CA 93942-0085 • (831) 658-5601
FAX (831) 644-9558 • <a href="http://www/mpwmd.dst.ca.us">http://www/mpwmd.dst.ca.us</a>

Please PRINT OR TYPE all information. Applications must be received within twenty-one (21) days after an appealable decision has been made pursuant to District Rule 70. To be considered for an appeal hearing, please submit a completed application and include a non-refundable processing fee (\$250 for less than half acre-foot of water, \$500 for half - one acre-foot of water, and \$750 for more than one acre-foot of water); other information as necessary which may include 5 years of water records from purveyor. The Board will support or deny your appeal based on the pertinent information you have provided. Submission of an incomplete application may constitute grounds for denial of your request.

## APPLICATION FOR APPEAL

## APPLICANT INFORMATION

1.	Applicant's Full Name: Christo Bardis
	Mailing Address: 9848 Business Park Drive, Suite H
•	City: Sacramento State: CA Zip: 95827
	Phone Number(s): Work ( 916 ) 366-3665 Home ( )
2.	Name of Agent(s) to Represent Applicant:Christine Gianascol Kemp, Esq
	Mailing Address: P. O. Box 2510
	City: Salinas State: CA Zip: 93902
	Phone Number(s): Work (_831)424-1414
1.	PROPERTY INFORMATION  Full Name of Property Owner: Christo Bardis
٠.	Mailing Address: 9848 Business Park Drive, Suite H
	City: Sacramento State: CA Zip: 95827
	Phone Number(s): Work (_916) 366-3665
2.	Property Address: 770 Carmel Valley Road
	City:Carmel Valley State:CAZip:93924
3.	Assessor's Parcel Number:169181051
4.	Property Area: Acres: Square Feet: Other:
5.	Past Land Use: Agricultural/residential
6.	Present Land Use:Agricultural/residential
<b>7.</b>	Proposed Land Use:Agricultural/residential
	Existing buildings? Yes No
	Types of uses and square footage: Residence, barn, produce stand

## STATEMENT OF APPEAL REQUEST

*If additional space is needed for	response to any question,	please continue on c	a separate piece of pa	per and attach it to	the back of this
application.			•		

pplication.		·	
<i>x</i>			

SEE ATTACHMENT 1

2. Do you feel the rule or staff's decision is applicable in most cases, or do you believe it should be revoked or changed?

SEE ATTACHMENT 1

3. What were the circumstances surrounding your decision to appeal?

1. From which rule(s) or staff's decision(s) are you requesting an appeal?

SEE ATTACHMENT 1

4. Please state the special circumstances that distinguish your application from all others which are subject to enforcement of this process.

SEE ATTACHMENT 1

5. What difficulties or hardships would result if your appeal request is denied?

SEE ATTACHMENT 1

6. What specific action are you requesting that the Board take?

SEE ATTACHMENT 1

7. Please indicate if you intend to make a statement at the appeal hearing, and list the names of any other individuals who may speak on your behalf.

SEE ATTACHMENT 1

## **PROJECT INFORMATION**

*If app	additional space is needec plication.	l for response to any questions, please	continue on a separate p	piece of paper and attach it to the back of this
1.	Type of Project:	x New Construction	Remo	del/Addition
2.	Proposed New Use:	(Please refer to the District's cur question.)	rent Fixture Unit/Use	e Category sheet for assistance with this
	X Residentia	ilNo. Dwellings 1 Tot	al No. Fixture Units	(Residential Only)
	Commerci	al/Industrial/Governmental		
	Type of U	se:	Square I	Footage:
	X Other (Sp	ecify): Agricultural use,	7.7 acres of agr	icultural land
3.	Current Zoning Class	ification:		
	LDR 2.5 acres	s per unit		
4.	Name of the water co	mpany which services the proper	tv:	
	N/A			
<b>5.</b>	SEE ATTACHMEN  Has this project been	Il use, and the basis on which you T 2  approved by the local jurisdiction	u make this assumption  1? If so, please list of	r attach a copy of all conditions which
	SEE ATTACHMEN	n the project. (Attach a copy of the NT 2	nese conditions and a	pprovals received.)
<b>'.</b>	Does the applicant int following the granting SEE ATTACHMEN	g of a water connection permit? In	nty building permit f f not, when will water	For the project within ninety (90) days r be needed at the site?
	SEE ATTACHMEN			•
*** dec	elare under penalty of poest of my knowledge	erjury that the information in the		*********  companying attachments is correct to
	ht llas	22	12/20/04	Silinga 12
ign	ature of Applicant	y	Date/Location	merron), Cf
O7	TE TO APPLICANT:	You may attach written finding	gs for the Board to re	eview and consider in support of the
~~``	~~~~~~	^^^^	so Only	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
_		Official U	•	
F	ee Received		Receipt No	
(	neck No	Bank Ro	uting No	
	ceceived by			<del></del>

#### **ATTACHMENT 1**

#### MONTEREY PENINSULA WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT

#### **APPLICATION FOR APPEAL**

## IN RE APPLICANT: CHRISTO BARDIS Application No. 20040426BAR

- 1. Appeal of conditional approval of Application No. 20040426BAR creating Bardis Water Distribution System, approved November 24, 2004 (logged November 29, 2004).
- 2. The applicant agrees that the system capacity (production limit) should be **14.91 acre feet per year (afy)** as authorized under the permit. The applicant, however, challenges the allocation between residential use, including landscape irrigation, and agricultural irrigation.

At the hearing the applicant asserted the proper allocation for inside residential use should be **0.5** afy (without outside landscaping) and **14.41** afy for outside non-potable use, including landscape irrigation, based on a subsequent letter from Harold Grice. Alternatively, the applicant asserted the proper allocation for residential use, including landscape irrigation, should be **1.0** afy and **13.91** afy for agricultural irrigation. The Hearing Officer, however, allocated **0.444** afy for residential use, including landscaping, and **14.466** afy for outside agricultural irrigation.

3. The applicant appeals the water allocation between residential use (0.444 afy) and agricultural uses (14.466 afy).

The Hearing Officer based the 0.444 afy residential allocation on the 1994 Grice report<sup>1</sup>, which assumes 3.17 persons per household based on a range of housing types, including apartments. This standard (3.17 persons) is not the correct standard to be applied to the home on this site, as the home to be served by this well is approximately 4,500 square feet with 4 bedrooms and five (5) persons. Based on water use figures from the 1994 Grice report, five (5) persons would use **0.7 afy** for household use, with landscaping, with the remainder of the water (**14.21 afy**) available for agricultural irrigation.

An allocation of **0.7 afy** for residential use, with outside landscaping, (or **0.5 afy** without outside landscaping) is also consistent with the District's water allocation for a home of this size using the District's water fixture/water credit form (total water fixture count equals 67.8 units).

Lastly, surrounding landowners have received allocations of 1 afy for household and landscape use. This applicant is requesting equal treatment.

(Continued on next page)

Which is now obsolete by its own terms.

- 4. The applicant seeks a redistribution of the water production limit allocation between residential and agricultural uses. Each water distribution system production limit and allocation is unique, creating its own special circumstance. In this case, the allocation is inconsistent with allocations made to surrounding landowners, inconsistent with a water allocation for the number of residents in the house, and inconsistent with the District's own water use figures for a house of this size.
- 5. A residential allocation of 0.44 afy for residential use including landscape irrigation is insufficient water for the 4,450 square foot four bedroom home (5 residents) proposed for this site and is inconsistent with the water allocations used by surrounding neighbors and water allocations given to houses of comparable size.
- 6. The applicant requests that the Board uphold the overall system capacity production limit of 14.91 afy, but that the Board revise the permit water distribution allocation and Condition 3 to reallocate the residential use to 0.7 afy for residential use, including landscape irrigation, and 14.21 afy for agricultural irrigation or, alternatively, allocate 0.5 afy for inside residential use, without landscape irrigation, and allocate 14.41 afy for outside non-potable use, including landscape and agricultural irrigation.
- 7. At this time, anticipated speakers are Christo Bardis, Christine Kemp, and Members of the Board of All Saints Day School.

## **ATTACHMENT 2**

## MONTEREY PENINSULA WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT

## APPLICATION FOR APPEAL

## IN RE APPLICANT: CHRISTO BARDIS Application No. 20040426BAR

- 5. The home and surrounding land uses for this site will use the system capacity production limit of 14.91 afy. The residence, however, will use 0.3 afy more water than allocated for residential use, with landscape irrigation is included.
- 6. The application for the 4,500 square foot home proposed for this site has been submitted to Monterey County and is proceeding through the County land use process.
- 7. The applicant intends to obtain a building permit for the home within 60 days following the grant of the water permit, provided County processing is completed by that time. Plans are currently in process.

The Applicant will submit revised written findings for the Board to review and consider in support of the appeal filed herein.



## **Monterey Peninsula Water Management District**

# DISCLOSURE STATEMENT (EX PARTE COMMUNICATIONS)

<u>Name</u>	Address
Christine Kemp, Noland Hamerly,	P. O. Box 2510 Salinas, CA 93902
Members of the All Saints Day School Board of Directors	All Saints Day School, Carmel Valley
the first line, or as  an authorized Agent of thorized to act on behalf of all individuals as matter (exceptions shall be noted by complanation as an attachment to this <i>Disclosure</i> understand this <i>Disclosure Statement</i> is recreased authorized to communicate with the Disters. I further understand and agree to	equired to list the names and addresses of all irectors of the Water Management District on this revise and amend this <i>Disclosure Statement</i>
the first line, or as  an authorized Agent of thorized to act on behalf of all individuals as matter (exceptions shall be noted by complanation as an attachment to this <i>Disclosure</i> understand this <i>Disclosure Statement</i> is recreased authorized to communicate with the Disters. I further understand and agree to	the Applicant. My signature evidences I am duly and/or entities that have an ownership interest in hecking this box and providing a complete e Statement).  Equired to list the names and addresses of all irectors of the Water Management District on this
the first line, or as an authorized Agent of thorized to act on behalf of all individuals as matter (exceptions shall be noted by complanation as an attachment to this Disclosure understand this Disclosure Statement is recrosors authorized to communicate with the Diatter. I further understand and agree to be neever any other person is authorized to complete shall not satisfy this requirement.  Inderstand and agree that failure to disclose the District Board Members on behalf of the complete shall be noted agent. Further the applicant or of an authorized agent.	the Applicant. My signature evidences I am duly and/or entities that have an ownership interest in hecking this box and providing a complete estatement).  equired to list the names and addresses of all irectors of the Water Management District on this revise and amend this Disclosure Statement mmunicate regarding this matter. Oral disclosure the applicant shall subject the matter referenced r, I understand that if denial is based on failure of of the applicant to comply with these disclosure dentical or similar matter shall be granted for a

Signature